RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR State of Michigan DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: February 1, 2018 MAHS Docket No.: 17-009867 Agency No.: Petitioner:

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Denise McNulty

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 19, 2017, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner represented herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by **Mathematical Problem 19**, Assistance Payment Worker/Hearing Coordinator.

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly determine Petitioner's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was a recipient of MA benefits under the Low Income Family program (MA-LIF) which was changed to Transitional MA (TMA) after she married, due to her spouse's income.
- 2. At the end of the transitional period of 12 months, Petitioner's MA benefits were again changed.
- 3. On July 11, 2017, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing her that she met all the requirements for Medicaid except income. She was informed that she had a monthly deductible of **\$**[Exhibit A, pp. 14-16.]

4. On August 3, 2017, the Department received Petitioner's request for a hearing.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute the change in her MA benefits. Prior to the July 2017 change in MA benefits, Petitioner was a recipient of TMA program benefits. Her TMA began in June 2016. Individuals may receive TMA for up to 12 months when ineligibility for LIF relates to income from employment of a caretaker relative. BEM 111 (April 2015), p. 1. Petitioner married in late 2016.

Petitioner's TMA was ending in June 2017. Redetermination of her MA benefits included the consideration of her spouse's income. BEM 211 (January 2016), p. 2. The Department concluded that Petitioner had excess net income and was, therefore, not eligible for full-coverage MA benefits and that and MA benefits were subject to a deductible.

MA is available (i) under Supplemental Security Income (SSI)-related categories to individuals who are aged (65 or older), blind or disabled, (ii) to individuals who are under age 19, parents or caretakers of children, or pregnant or recently pregnant women, and (iii) to individuals who meet the eligibility criteria for Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) coverage. BEM 105 (October 2016), p. 1; BEM 137 (October 2016), p. 1. HMP is a Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI)-related MA category that provides MA coverage to individuals who (i) are 19 to 64 years of age; (ii) have income at or below 133% of the federal poverty level (FPL) under the MAGI methodology; (iii) do not qualify for or are not enrolled in Medicare; (iv) do not qualify for or are not enrolled in other MA programs; (v) are not pregnant at the time of application; and (vi) are residents of the State of Michigan. BEM 137, p. 1.

In determining the SSI-related MA category Petitioner is eligible for, the Department must determine Petitioner's MA fiscal group size and net income. Because Petitioner is married, her fiscal group size for SSI-related MA purposes is two. BEM 211 (January

2016), p. 8. The Department presented a net income budget and it was reviewed in the hearing. Petitioner's net income was calculated to be **\$100000** 

Based on her net income, Petitioner has excess income for eligibility under the AD-Care program, the full-coverage SSI-related MA program. BEM 163 (July 2013), p. 2; RFT 242 (April 2017), p. 1; https://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty-guidelines. However, clients who are ineligible for full-coverage MA coverage because of excess income may nevertheless be eligible for Group 2 MA coverage, which provides for MA coverage with a deductible. BEM 105, p. 1. The deductible is in the amount that the client's net income (less any allowable needs deductions) exceeds the applicable Group 2 MA protected income levels (PIL); the PIL is based on the client's MA fiscal group size and the county in which she resides. BEM 105, p. 1; BEM 166 (April 2017), pp. 1-2; BEM 544 (July 2016), p. 1; RFT 240 (December 2013), p. 1; RFT 200 (April 2017), p. 2. The monthly PIL for a client in Petitioner's position, with an MA fiscal group size of two living in Wayne County, is \$500 per month. RFT 200, p. 2; RFT 240, p 1. Thus, if Petitioner's monthly net income (less allowable needs deductions) is in excess of \$500, she is eligible for MA assistance under the deductible program, with the deductible equal to the amount that her monthly net income, less allowable deductions, exceeds \$500. BEM 545 (January 2017), pp. 2-3. The Department presented an SSI-related MA budget showing the calculation of Petitioner's deductible.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined Petitioner's MA benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Denise McNulty Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

DM/jaf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Page 5 of 5 17-009867 <u>DM</u>

DHHS

Petitioner



