



RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

SHELLY EDGERTON
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: February 8, 2018
MAHS Docket No.: 17-015905
Agency No.: [REDACTED]
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ellen McLemore

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 1, 2018, from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner was present and represented herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by William Rodriguez, Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On [REDACTED], 2017, Petitioner submitted an application for MA benefits (Exhibit B).
2. Petitioner was not married, was not the caretaker of any minor children, and has not been determined as disabled by a state or federal agency.
3. Petitioner had income from employment (Exhibit C).
4. On November 21, 2017, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing Petitioner her application for MA benefits was denied (Exhibit A).

5. On December 6, 2017, Petitioner submitted a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

MA is available (i) to individuals who are aged (65 or older), blind or disabled under SSI-related categories, (ii) to individuals who are under age 19, parents or caretakers of children, or pregnant or recently pregnant women, and (iii) to individuals who meet the eligibility criteria for Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) coverage. BEM 105 (April 2017), p. 1.

In this case, Petitioner submitted an application for MA benefits on [REDACTED], 2017. Based on Petitioner's circumstances, she is only eligible for MA benefits under the HMP program. Along with her application, Petitioner submitted pay statements from her income from employment (Exhibit C).

The Department concluded that Petitioner was not eligible for HMP because her income exceeded the applicable income limit for her group size. HMP uses a Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) methodology. BEM 137 (October 2016), p. 1. An individual is eligible for HMP if her household's income does not exceed 133% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) applicable to the individual's group size. BEM 137, p. 1. An individual's group size for MAGI-related purposes requires consideration of the client's tax filing status. In this case, Petitioner filed taxes and did not claim any dependents. Therefore, for HMP purposes, she has a household size of one. BEM 211 (January 2016), pp. 1-2.

133% of the annual FPL in 2017 for a household with one member is \$16,039.80. See <https://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty-guidelines>. The monthly income limit for a group size of one is \$1,386.90. Therefore, to be income eligible for HMP, Petitioner's income cannot exceed \$16,039.80 annually or \$1,386.90 monthly. To determine financial eligibility under HMP, income must be calculated in accordance with MAGI under federal tax law.

BEM 500 (July 2017), p. 3. MAGI is based on Internal Revenue Service rules and relies on federal tax information. BEM 500, p. 3. Income is verified via electronic federal data sources in compliance with MAGI methodology. MREM, § 1.

In order to determine income in accordance with MAGI, a client's adjusted gross income (AGI) is added to any tax-exempt foreign income, tax-exempt Social Security benefits, and tax-exempt interest. AGI is found on IRS tax form 1040 at line 37, form 1040 EZ at line 4, and form 1040A at line 21. Alternatively, it is calculated by taking the "federal taxable wages" for each income earner in the household as shown on the paystub or, if not shown on the paystub, by using gross income before taxes reduced by any money the employer takes out for health coverage, child care, or retirement savings. This figure is multiplied by the number of paychecks the client expects in 2017 to estimate income for the year. See <https://www.healthcare.gov/income-and-household-information/how-to-report/>.

The Department used Petitioner's pay statements that were submitted with the application to calculate her income. The pay statements submitted by Petitioner show she was paid on September 5, 2017, in the gross amount of \$1,280; on September 20, 2017, in the gross amount of \$1,600; on October 5, 2017, in the gross amount of \$1,440; on October 20, 2017, in the gross amount of 1,440; and on November 3, 2017, in the gross amount of \$1,600 (Exhibit C). Petitioner was paid biweekly. There was no evidence Petitioner had any pretax deductions, thus reducing her "taxable wages." The Department testified that Petitioner's income exceeded the annual income limit for HMP. Petitioner argued that the Department erred in using the pay statements to calculate her annual income, as she only obtained employment on May 1, 2017. Petitioner stated she was unemployed from January 1, 2017, through April 30, 2017.

Effective January 1, 2014, when determining eligibility for new applicants of MAGI-related MA, the State of Michigan has elected to base financial eligibility on current monthly income and family size. See:


http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/SPA_13_0110_MM3_MAGI-Based_Income_Meth_446554_7.pdf

Petitioner submitted her application in [REDACTED] 2017. In order to determine Petitioner's MAGI for October 2017, the Department is to use the federal taxable wages as reflected on her paystubs. A review of the paystubs presented by the Department, specifically, the October 5, 2017, paystub indicates that Petitioner had federal taxable earnings of \$1,440 and for October 20, 2017, federal taxable earnings of \$1,440. Thus, Petitioner's MAGI for the current application month of [REDACTED] 2017 was \$1,880, in excess of the \$1,316.70 monthly income limit for HMP. Therefore, the Department acted in accordance with policy when it denied Petitioner's application for MA benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's application for MA benefits. Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

EM/cg



Ellen McLemore

Administrative Law Judge

for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Via Email:

MDHHS-Wayne-82-Hearings
M. Best
EQAD
BSC4-Hearing Decisions
MAHS

Petitioner – Via First-Class Mail:

