



RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
LANSING

SHELLY EDGERTON
DIRECTOR

Date Mailed: November 30, 2017
MAHS Docket No.: 17-012187
Agency No.: [REDACTED]
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 1, 2017, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner personally appeared and testified.

The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Eligibility Specialist [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] testified on behalf of the Department. The Department submitted Exhibit A pages 1-34 and Exhibit B which were admitted into evidence. The record was closed at the conclusion of the hearing.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine that Petitioner had a Medicaid eligibility spenddown of \$ [REDACTED] ?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On [REDACTED], Petitioner submitted a redetermination for Medicaid to the Department. Petitioner indicated on the redetermination, that a child had moved out of the home on [REDACTED]. Petitioner also reported that employment had ended on [REDACTED], and unemployment benefits would be received beginning [REDACTED]. [Dept. Exh. 5-12].

2. On [REDACTED], the Department issued a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing Petitioner that beginning [REDACTED], Petitioner would have a Medicaid monthly deductible of \$ [REDACTED].
3. On [REDACTED], Petitioner requested a hearing.
4. On [REDACTED], Eligibility Specialist submitted Department Exhibit B, which was the SSI Related Medicaid (Adults) – Income Budget Results, showing at remaining deductible of \$ [REDACTED]. [Dept. Exh. B].

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The goal of the Medicaid program is to ensure that essential health care services are made available to those who otherwise could not afford them. Medicaid is also known as Medical Assistance.

The State of Michigan has set guidelines for income, which determine if a Medicaid group is eligible. Income eligibility exists for the calendar month tested when there is no excess income, or allowable medical expenses equal or exceed the excess income (under the Deductible Guidelines). BEM 545.

Net income (countable income minus allowable income deductions) must be at or below a certain income limit for eligibility to exist. BEM 105. Income eligibility exists when net income does not exceed the Group 2 needs in BEM 544. BEM 166. The protected income level is a set allowance for non-medical need items such as shelter, food and incidental expenses. RFT 240 lists the Group 2 Medicaid protected income levels based on shelter area and fiscal group size. BEM 544. An eligible Medicaid group

(Group 2 MA) has income the same as or less than the “protected income level” as set forth in the policy contained in the Reference Table (RFT). An individual or Medicaid group whose income is in excess of the monthly protected income level is ineligible to receive Medicaid. BEM 545.

However, a Medicaid group may become eligible for assistance under the deductible program. The deductible program is a process, which allows a client with excess income to be eligible for Medicaid, if sufficient allowable medical expenses are incurred. Each calendar month is a separate deductible period. The fiscal group’s monthly excess income is called the deductible amount. Meeting a deductible means reporting and verifying allowable medical expenses that equal or exceed the deductible amount for the calendar month. The Medicaid group must report expenses by the last day of the third month following the month it wants medical coverage. BEM 545; 42 CFR 435.831.

In order to qualify for Group 2 MA coverage, a medically needy person like Petitioner must have income which is equal to or less than the Protected Income Level. This dollar figure is a set amount for all non-medical needs, such as food, shelter and incidental expenses. If an individual’s income exceeds the Protected Income Level, the excess amount must be used to pay medical expenses before Group 2 MA coverage can begin. This process is known as a “deductible” case. In Petitioner’s case, the Protected Income Level is \$[REDACTED]. RFT 240. When the Department subtracted this dollar amount (i.e., \$[REDACTED]) from Petitioner’s countable net income (i.e., \$[REDACTED]), a \$[REDACTED] deductible amount resulted.


In this case, Petitioner did not dispute the amount of unearned income the Department used to compute the monthly Medicaid deductible. The inclusion of Petitioner’s unearned income into the MA budget is required by policy, and as a result, the imposition of a deductible restriction is inevitable in this case. Additionally, this Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the Department’s MA budgeting processes and finds all calculations were properly made. Consequently, the Department’s actions must be upheld, because they are in complete compliance with the Department’s policies, and with the governing laws and regulations on which those policies are based.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated the amount of Petitioner’s Medical monthly spenddown.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

VLA/bb



Vicki Armstrong
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS

[REDACTED]

Authorized Hearing Rep.

[REDACTED]

Petitioner

[REDACTED]