RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: September 13, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 17-010154 Agency No.: Petitioner:

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 5, 2017, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner was represented by himself. The Department was represented by Recoupment Specialist

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Did Petitioner receive a **Sector** Client Error over-issuance of Food Assistance Program benefits from December 1, 2011 to May 31, 2012?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On August 13, 2001, Respondent was convicted of a drug related felony.
- 2. On August 19, 2003, Respondent was convicted of a second drug related felony.
- 3. On April 11, 2005, Respondent was convicted of another drug related felony.
- 4. On October 17, 2011, Respondent submitted an Assistance Application (DHS-1171) for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. In the application Respondent reported that he had no drug related felony convictions.

- 5. Respondent was a recipient of Food Assistance Program benefits from the Department from December 1, 2011 to May 31, 2012.
- 6. December 1, 2011 to May 31, 2012 has been properly determined as the over-issuance period caused by this Client Error.
- 7. Due to Client Error of the Department issuing Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits to Respondent when he was not eligible, he received a successful over-issuance of Food Assistance Program benefits during the over-issuance period.
- 8. On July 20, 2017, Respondent was sent a Notice of Over-Issuance (DHS-4358).
- 9. On July 27, 2017 Respondent submitted a signed Department and Client Error Information and Repayment Agreement (DHS-4358) and a hearing request.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3011.

Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 725 Collection Actions states that when the client group or CDC provider receives more benefits than entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the over-issuance. Additionally, anyone who was an eligible, disqualified, or other adult in the program group at the time the over-issuance occurred is responsible for repayment of the over-issuance.

The Department submitted an Assistance Application (DHS-1171) dated April 2, 2014 that Respondent signed and submitted to the Department prior to the alleged overissuance period. On the application Respondent reported that he had felony drug convictions. This application is sufficient to establish that the Department knew Respondent was not eligible for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and that Respondent was provided the recoupment responsibilities of receiving assistance.

During this hearing Respondent did not dispute having more than one drug related felony or the amount of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits he was issued.

## Client/CDC Provider Error

BAM 715 Client/CDC Provider Error Over-Issuances, states that the over-issuance period begins the first month (or pay period for CDC) benefit issuance exceeds the amount allowed by policy **or** 72 months before the date it was referred to the RS, whichever is later.

To determine the first month of the over-issuance period (for over-issuances 11/97 or later) Bridges allows time for:

The client reporting period, per BAM 105.

The full standard of promptness (SOP) for change processing, per BAM 220.

The full negative action suspense period: see BAM 220.

The over-issuance period ends the month (or pay period for CDC) before the benefit is corrected.

The error which caused this over-issuance occurred on October 17, 2011 when Petitioner submitted the incorrect Assistance Application (DHS-1171). Applying the over-issuance period definition, the over-issuance period began December 1, 2011.

#### Over-issuance Amount

BAM 715 Client/CDC Provider Error Over-Issuances states that the over-issuance amount is the benefit amount the group actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. The Department presented a benefit summary showing that the State of Michigan issued a total of **Sector** in Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits to Respondent during the over-issuance period. In accordance with Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 203 Criminal Justice Disqualifications, Respondent was not eligible for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits after his second felony drug conviction on August 19, 2003. Respondent received a **Sector** over-issuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did establish that Respondent received a **Sector** Client Error over-issuance of Food Assistance Program which they may recoup in accordance with Department policy.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

GH/nr

Gary Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139



Petitioner