RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: September 14, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 17-008900 Agency No.: Petitioner:

#### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Lain

# HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 42 CFR 438.400 to 438.424; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 6, 2017, from the second second second second by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department or Respondent or State) was represented by **Exercise**, Family Independence Specialist.

Respondent's Exhibit P1-19 were admitted as evidence.

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's application for Medical Assistance (MA) because Petitioner possessed excess assets?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On personal provide the second personal provide the second personal provide the second personal per
- 2. On **Contract of**, the Department denied Petitioner's application based upon the determination that Petitioner possessed excess assets.

- 3. On period of the value of her countable assets is higher than allowed for this program.
- 4. On Request for Hearing from Petitioner to contest the Department's negative action.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

Department policies are contained in the following Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Title XIX of the Social Security Act, commonly referred to as "The Medicaid Act," provides for Medical Assistance services to individuals <u>who lack the financial means</u> to obtain needed health care. 42 U.S.C. §1396. (Emphasis added)

The Medicaid program is administered by the federal government through the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS) of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). The state and federal governments share financial responsibility for Medicaid services. Each state may choose whether or not to participate in the Medicaid program. Once a state chooses to participate, it must operate its Medicaid program in accordance with mandatory federal requirements, imposed both by the Medicaid Act and by implementing federal regulations authorized under the Medicaid Act and promulgated by HHS. Participating states must provide at least seven categories of medical services to persons determined to be eligible Medicaid recipients. 42 USC \$1396a(a)(10)(A), 1396d(a)(1)-(5), (17), (21). One of the seven mandated services is *nursing facility services*. 42 USC \$1396d(a)(4)(A).

For Medical Assistance eligibility, the Department has defined an asset as "any kind of property or property interest, whether real, personal, or mixed, whether liquid or illiquid, and whether or not presently vested with possessory rights." NDAC 75-02-02.1-01(3). Under both federal and state law, an asset must be "actually available" to an applicant to be considered a countable asset for determining Medical Assistance eligibility. Hecker, 527 N.W.2d at 237 (On Petition for Rehearing); Hinschberger v. Griggs County Social Serv., 499 N.W.2d 876, 882 (N.D.1993); 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(17)(B); 1 J. Krauskopf, R. Brown, K. Tokarz, and A. Bogutz, Elderlaw: Advocacy for the Aging § 11.25 (2d ed. 1993). Yet, "actually available" resources "are different from those in hand." Schweiker v. Gray Panthers, 453 U.S. 34, 48, 101 S.Ct. 2633, 2642, 69 L.Ed.2d 460 (1981) (emphasis in original). NDAC 75-02-02.1-25(2) explains: Only such assets as are actually available will be considered. Assets are actually available when at the disposal of an applicant, recipient, or responsible relative; when the applicant, recipient, or responsible relative has a legal interest in a liquidated sum and has the legal ability to make the sum available for support, maintenance, or medical care; or when the applicant, recipient, or responsible relative has the lawful power to make the asset available, or to cause the asset to be made available. Assets will be reasonably evaluated.... See also 45 C.F.R. § 233.20(a)(3)(ii)(D).

As noted in *Hecker*, if an applicant has a legal ability to obtain an asset, it is considered an "actually available" resource. The actual-availability principle primarily serves "to prevent the States from conjuring fictional sources of income and resources by imputing financial support from persons who have no obligation to furnish it or by overvaluing assets in a manner that attributes non-existent resources to recipients." *Heckler v. Turner*, 470 U.S. 184, 200, 105 S.Ct. 1138, 1147, 84 L.Ed.2d 138 (1985).

The focus is on an applicant's actual and practical ability to make an asset available as a matter of fact, not legal fiction. *See Schrader v. Idaho Dept. of Health and Welfare*, 768 F.2d 1107, 1112 (9th Cir.1985). *See also Lewis v. Martin*, 397 U.S. 552, 90 S.Ct. 1282, 25 L.Ed.2d 561 (1970) (invalidating California state regulation that presumed contribution of non-AFDC resources by a non-legally responsible and non-adoptive stepfather or common law husband of an AFDC recipient's mother).

Determining whether an asset is "actually available" for purposes of Medical Assistance eligibility is largely a fact-specific inquiry depending on the circumstances of each case. See, e.g., Intermountain Health Care v. Bd. of Cty. Com'rs, 107 Idaho 248, 688 P.2d 260, 264 (Ct.App.1984); Radano v. Blum, 89 A.D.2d 858, 453 N.Y.S.2d 38, 39 (1982); Haynes v. Dept. of Human Resources, 121 N.C.App. 513, 470 S.E.2d 56, 58 (1996). Interpretation of the "actually available" requirement must be "reasonable and humane in accordance with its manifest intent and purpose…" Moffett v. Blum, 74 A.D.2d 625, 424 N.Y.S.2d 923, 925 (1980).

That an applicant must sue to collect an asset the applicant has a legal entitlement to usually does not mean the asset is actually unavailable. *See, e.g., Wagner v. Sheridan County S.S. Bd.,* 518 N.W.2d 724, 728 (N.D.1994); *Frerks v. Shalala,* 52 F.3d 412, 414 (2d Cir.1995); *Probate of Marcus,* 199 Conn. 524, 509 A.2d 1, 5 (1986); *Herman v. Ramsey Cty. Community Human Serv.,* 373 N.W.2d 345, 348 (Minn.Ct.App.1985). *See also Ziegler v. Dept. of Health & Rehab. Serv.,* 601 So.2d 1280, 1284 (Fla.Ct.App.1992) At issue here is the methodology utilized in determining the availability of an individual's "resources" for purposes of evaluating his or her eligibility. SSI recipients, and thus SSI-related "medically needy" recipients, may not retain resources having a value in excess of \$2,000. 42 U.S.C. § 1382(a)(1)(B).

Pertinent Department policy dictates:

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is incorrect. The department provides an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine its appropriateness in accordance to policy. This item includes procedures to meet the minimum requirements for a fair hearing. BAM 600, page 1

Assets must be considered in determining eligibility for FIP, SDA, RCA, G2U, G2C, RMA, SSI-related MA categories, CDC and FAP. FIP, SDA, RCA, G2U, G2C, CDC and RMA consider only the following types of assets:

- Cash (which includes savings and checking accounts).
- Investments (which includes 401(k), Roth IRA etc.).
- Retirement Plans.
- Trusts.

Assets mean:

- Cash (see Cash in this item).
- Personal property. Personal property is any item subject to ownership that is not real property (examples: currency, savings accounts and vehicles).
- Real property. Real property is land and objects affixed to the land such as buildings, trees and fences. Condominiums are real property. BEM 400, page 1

All types of assets are considered for SSI-related MA categories. BEM 400, page 2 Asset eligibility is required for G2U, G2C, RMA, and SSI-related MA categories. Asset eligibility exists when the asset group's countable assets are less than, or equal to, the applicable asset limit at least one day during the month being tested. At application, do not authorize MA for future months if the person has excess assets on the processing date.

If an ongoing MA recipient or active deductible client has excess assets, initiate closure. However, delete the pending negative action if it is verified that the excess assets were disposed of. Payment of medical expenses, living costs and other debts are examples of ways to dispose of excess assets without divestment. LTC and waiver patients will be penalized for divestment; see BEM 405, MA DIVESTMENT. BEM 400, page 6

For all other SSI-related MA categories, the asset limit is:

- \$2,000 for an asset group of one.
- \$3,000 for an asset group of two BEM 400, page 8

BEM, Item 401, controls Medical Assistance Trust. Policy defines trust as a right of property created by one person for the benefit of himself or another. It includes any legal instrument or device that exhibits the general characteristics of a trust but is not called a trust or does not qualify as a trust under state law. Examples of such devices might be annuities, escrow accounts, pension funds and investment accounts managed by someone with fiduciary obligations. A trustee is defined by policy as the person who has the legal title to the assets and income of a trust and the duty to manage the trust with the benefit of the beneficiary. BEM, Item 401, p. 1.

The Department caseworker is to refer a copy of the trust to the Medicaid eligibility policy section for evaluation. An evaluation of the trust advises local offices on whether the trust is revocable or irrevocable and whether any trust income or principle is available. Advice is only available to local offices for purposes of determining eligibility or for an initial assessment when a trust actually exists. Advice is not available for purposes of estate planning including advice on proposed trust or proposed trust limits. BEM, Item 401, p. 2.

The Medicaid Trust Unit/eligibility policy section must determine if a trust established on or after August 11, 1993, is a Medicaid trust using Medicaid trust definitions and Medicaid trust criteria. The policy unit also has to determine if the trust is a Medicaid trust and whether there are countable assets for Medicaid trusts; whether there is countable income for Medicaid trusts; and whether there is transfers of assets for less than fair market value. BEM, Item 401, p. 3.

A Medicaid trust is a trust that meets conditions 1 through 5 below:

- 1. The person whose resources were transferred to the trust is someone whose assets or income must be counted to determine MA eligibility, an MA post-eligibility patient-pay amount, a divestment penalty or an initial assessment amount. A person's resources include his spouse's resources (see definition).
- 2. The trust was established by:
  - The person.
  - The person's spouse.

- Someone else (including a court or administrative body) with legal authority to act in place of or on behalf of the person or the person's spouse, or an attorney, or adult child.
- Someone else (including a court or administrative body) acting at the direction or upon the request of the person or the person's spouse or an attorney ordered by the court.
- 3. The trust was established on or after August 11, 1993.
- 4. The trust was not established by a will.
- 5. The trust is **not** described in Exception A, Special Needs Trust, or Exception B, Pooled Trust in this item. BEM, Item 401, pages 5-6.

Count as the person's countable asset the value of the countable assets in the trust principal if there is any condition under which the principal could be paid to or on behalf of the person from an irrevocable trust.

Count as the person's countable asset the value of the trust's countable income if there is any condition under which the income could be paid to or on behalf of the person. Individuals can keep income made off of property and the money goes to the individual not the trust. BEM Item 401, page 12

The Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner had total assets of **\$** trust on the date of application in **boundary**. There is a condition under which the principal and/or income could be paid to or on behalf of the person from the trust. The Department has established by the necessary, competent and material evidence on the the record that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's application for Medical Assistance based upon excess assets.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department finds that Petitioner's Request for Hearing is untimely. In the alternative, the Department's determination that Petitioner has more than \$2,000.00 in countable, available assets for the months of month of application was correct under the circumstances.

Accordingly, the actions of the Department must stand and are **AFFIRMED**.

LL/hb

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139



# Petitioner