RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON
DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: August 30, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 17-005915

Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG

Respondent:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# HEARING DECISION FOR CONCURRENT BENEFITS INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, particularly 7 CFR 273.16, 42 CFR 431.230(b), and 45 CFR 235.110, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and R 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 3, 2017, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG).

Respondent did not appear at the hearing and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5).

### **ISSUES**

- 1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?
- 2. Did the Department establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?
- 3. Should Respondent be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits for 10 years?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on April 13, 2017, to establish an OI of benefits received by Respondent as a result of Respondent having received concurrent program benefits and, as such, allegedly committed an IPV.
- 2. The OIG has requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving FAP program benefits.
- 3. Respondent was a recipient of Michigan FAP benefits issued by the Department. Department Exhibit, pg. 30.
- 4. On the Redetermination Application, DHS 1010, signed by Respondent on August 22, 2014, and the Mid-Certification Contact Notice, DHS 2240, signed by the Respondent on August 21, 2015, Respondent reported that he intended to stay in Michigan. Department Exhibit 10-18.
- 5. Respondent was aware of the responsibility to report changes in his residence to the Department where Respondent used Michigan FAP benefits exclusively in the State of Arkansas for over thirty (30) consecutive days. Department Exhibit 1, pgs.19-23.
- 6. Respondent began using FAP benefits outside of the State of Michigan beginning in November 2015.
- 7. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement.
- 8. The Department's OIG indicates that the time period it is considering the fraud period for FAP is January 1, 2016, through May 31, 2016 (fraud period).
- 9. During the fraud period, Respondent was issued \$ in FAP benefits by the State of Michigan, and the Department alleges that Respondent was entitled to \$ in such benefits during this time period. Department Exhibit, pg. 30.
- 10. The Department alleges that Respondent received an OI of \$ in FAP benefits.
- 11. During the alleged fraud period, Respondent was also issued FAP benefits from the State of Arkansas since January 2016 until April 2017. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 24-29.

- 12. This was Respondent's first alleged IPV, but since he received concurrent benefits from the State of Arkansas he is sanctioned from receiving FAP benefits for 10 years.
- 13. A notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the US Post Office as undeliverable.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Effective October 1, 2014, the Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for the following cases:

- Willful overpayments of \$500.00 or more under the AHH program.
- FAP trafficking over issuances that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and
  - The total amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs combined is \$500 or more, or
  - the total amount is less than \$500, and
    - > the group has a previous IPV, or
    - > the alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or
    - the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or
    - ➤ the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee.

BAM 720, ASM 165.

#### Intentional Program Violation

Suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

- The client intentionally failed to report information or intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and
- The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, and
- The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill reporting responsibilities.

BAM 700; BAM 720.

An IPV is also suspected for a client who is alleged to have trafficked FAP benefits. BAM 720.

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the **purpose** of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720 (emphasis in original); see also 7 CFR 273(e)(6). Clear and convincing evidence is evidence sufficient to result in a clear and firm belief that the proposition is true. See M Civ JI 8.01.

#### Disqualification

A client who is found to have committed an IPV by a court or hearing decision is disqualified from receiving program benefits. BAM 720; BEM 708. Clients are disqualified for ten years for a FAP IPV involving concurrent receipt of benefits, and, for all other IPV cases involving FIP, FAP or SDA, for standard disqualification periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, and lifetime for the third IPV. BAM 720. CDC clients who intentionally violate CDC program rules are disqualified for six months for the first occurrence, twelve months for the second occurrence, and lifetime for the third occurrence. BEM 708. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720.

#### Overissuance

When a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI. BAM 700.

In this case, Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits in Michigan. On the Redetermination Application, DHS 1010, signed by Respondent on August 22, 2014, and the Mid-Certification Contact Notice, DHS 2240, signed by Respondent on August 21, 2015, Respondent reported that he intended to stay in Michigan.

Department Exhibit 10-18. Respondent was aware of the responsibility to report changes in his residence to the Department where the Respondent used Michigan FAP benefits exclusively in the State of Arkansas for over thirty (30) consecutive days. Department Exhibit 1, pgs.19-23.

During the alleged fraud period, Respondent was also issued FAP benefits from the State of Arkansas since January 2016 until April 2017. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 24-29. As a result, Respondent received concurrent benefits from the State of Michigan and the State of Arkansas during the contested time period. Respondent failed to report his change of residency to the State of Arkansas to the Department, which resulted in his receiving an overissuance \$ in FAP benefits that the Department is required to recoup during the contested time period and the Respondent be disqualified from the FAP program for a period of 10 years.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, concludes that:

- 1. The Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent did commit an intentional program violation (IPV).
- 2. Respondent did receive an OI of program benefits in the amount of \$ in FAP benefits.
- 3. The Department is ORDERED to initiate recoupment procedures for the amount of in accordance with Department policy.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be disqualified from the FAP program for a period of 10 years.

CF/md

Carmen G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Carmon II. Salvie

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

