RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: August 4, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 17-001797 Agency No.: Petitioner: Respondent: 17-001797

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16, 42 CFR 431.230(b), and 45 CFR 235.110, and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on **Methods**, from Detroit, Michigan. The Department was represented by **Methods**, Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG).

Respondent did not appear at the hearing; and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5).

ISSUES

1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Food Assistance (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on **Example 1**, to establish an OI of benefits received by Respondent as a result of Respondent having allegedly committed an IPV.
- 2. The OIG requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving program benefits.

- 3. The Respondent signed a Waiver of Disqualification Hearing Request received by the Department on **Exercise 1** and checked the box stating: "I admit to the facts as presented in the enclosed summary and understand that I will be disqualified from the programs shown without a hearing. Exhibit A, p. 94.
- 4. Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits issued by the Department.
- 5. The Department's OIG indicates that the time period it is considering the fraud period is **an equivalent of the second second**
- 6. During the fraud period, Respondent was issued in FAP benefits by the State of Michigan, and the Department alleges that Respondent was entitled to in such benefits during this time period.
- 7. The Department alleges that Respondent received an OI in FAP benefits in the amount of
- 8. This was Respondent's **first** alleged IPV.
- 9. A notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and **was not** returned by the US Post Office as undeliverable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Disqualification

A client who is found to have committed an Intentional Program Violation by a court or hearing decision is disqualified from receiving program benefits. BAM 720, p. 16. Clients are disqualified for ten years for a FAP IPV involving concurrent receipt of benefits, and, for all other IPV cases involving FIP, FAP or SDA, for standard disqualification periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, and lifetime for the third IPV. BAM 720, p. 16. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he/she lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720, p. 16.

In this case, the Respondent signed a Waiver of Disqualification Hearing admitting the facts in the hearing packet as true and confirming his understanding that by doing so he would be disqualified from receiving FAP benefits, therefore the Department is entitled to a finding of disqualification.

<u>Overissuance</u>

When a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI. BAM 700, p. 1.

In this case the Department presented evidence that the Respondent had been convicted of two drug-related felonies occuring after and the Respondent admitted to same, and thus was not eligible to receive FAP benefits he received as he was not eligible at the time he applied for FAP benefits on I Exhibit A, p. 11-41. The Respondent's second conviction occurred on and thus he was no longer eligible for FAP benefits after that date. The Respondent continued to receive FAP benefits through , at which time his FAP was closed. During the period the Respondent received FAP benefits, he was ineligible and thus received more benefit than he was entitled to receive as evidenced by the Benefit Issuance Summary demonstrating receipt of FAP during this entire period. Exhibit A, p. 78-91. The Department's evidence also demonstrated that the Respondent also received these benefits during the fraud period and thus the Department is entitled to a finding of through overissuance of

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that:

- 1. The Department **has** established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
- 2. Respondent **did** receive an OI of program benefits in the amount of **manual** from the following program(s) Food Assistance.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate recoupment/collection procedures for the amount of **manual** in accordance with Department policy.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent be disqualified from receiving Food Assistance benefits for a period of **12 months**.

torris)

Lyén M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

LF/

DHHS

Petitioner

Respondent

