RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: March 3, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-019443

Agency No.: Petitioner:

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned administrative law judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner appeared and was unrepresented. The Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) was represented by Hearing Facilitator.

# **ISSUE**

The issue is whether MDHHS properly failed to process Petitioner's application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The administrative law judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On Petitioner applied for MA benefits.
- 2. On MDHHS to process her MA application.
- 3. As of the date of hearing, MDHHS had not processed Petitioner's MA eligibility.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR

430.10-.25. MDHHS (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k. MDHHS policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute a failure by MDHHS to process a Medicaid application. It was not disputed that Petitioner's application was dated

It was not disputed that MDHHS had not yet processed Petitioner's MA eligibility as of the date of hearing.

MDHHS has certain timeframes in which applications should be processed; the timeframes are referred to as standards of promptness. [For MA benefits, MDHHS is to] certify program approval or denial of the application within 45 days. BAM 115 October 2016), p. 15. [The standard of promptness is] 90 days for MA categories in which disability is an eligibility factor. *Id.* 

MDHHS testimony did not allege that determining if Petitioner was disabled was the cause of the processing delay. Instead, MDHHS indicated some unspecified technical obstacle prevented certifying Petitioner's eligibility. The testifying hearing facilitator stated the problem could be easily fixed and that she would attempt to process Petitioner's eligibility after the hearing.

It is of no matter what caused the delay in processing Petitioner's eligibility. Presented evidence verified MDHHS exceeded the standards of promptness in process Petitioner's application for MA benefits. Accordingly, MDHHS will be ordered to initiate the processing of Petitioner's application.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The administrative law judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that MDHHS failed to process Petitioner's MA application. It is ordered that MDHHS begin to perform the following actions within 10 days of the date of mailing of this decision:

(1) Register Petitioner's MA application dated and

(2) Initiate processing of Petitioner's application in compliance with standards of promptness.

The actions taken by MDHHS are **REVERSED**.

Christian Gardocki

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Muchin Gordoch

Department of Health and Human Services

CG/jaf

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 **DHHS** 

Petitioner

