RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON
DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: March 14, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-019314

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 23, 2017, from Lansing, Michigan. Petitioner personally appeared and testified. Petitioner submitted 44 exhibits which were admitted into evidence.

The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Hearing Facilitator, testified on behalf of the Department. The Department submitted 120 exhibits which were admitted into evidence.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On August 10, 2016, Petitioner applied for SDA. [Dept. Exh. 1].
- 2. On November 21, 2016, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Petitioner's SDA application. [Dept. Exh. 3-9].
- 3. On October 10, 2016, the Department sent Petitioner notice that her application was denied. [Dept. Exh. 1].

- 4. On December 22, 2016, Petitioner filed a hearing request to contest the Department's negative action. [Dept. Exh. 2].
- 5. Petitioner reported a history of a migraines, diabetes, and a motor vehicle accident which caused back pain.
- 6. On the MRI of Petitioner's right elbow revealed the medial compartment had a partial tear of the ulnar collateral ligament at both the humeral origin, and ulnar attachment, as well as increased signal and slight attenuation. The common flexor tendon demonstrated mild or low grade partial tear and tendinopathy. There was a partial tear in the muscle belly at the myotendinous junction. The collateral compartment demonstrated some mild partial thickness tearing in the radial collateral ligament. In the posterior compartment, the anconeus muscle demonstrated a near complete tear. There was also some partial tearing of the retinaculum around the cubital tunnel. [Dept. Exh. 14-15].
- 7. On _____, an ultrasound of Petitioner's pelvis showed diffuse leiomyomatous changes of the uterus with obscuration of the endometrium. [Dept. Exh. 65].
- 8. On Petitioner saw her gynecologist for a fibroid evaluation. Petitioner had uterine fibroids extending to the bilateral sidewalls; 4+ cm right anterior myoma, 4 cm right fundal myoma, and a left posterolateral myoma discretely palpable. Petitioner was diagnosed with pelvic pain leiomyoma of uterus. [Dept. Exh. 42-45].
- 9. On _____, Petitioner presented with fatigue. She was diagnosed with chronic bilateral low back pain without sciatica and chronic fatigue. [Dept. Exh. 30-35].
- 10. On the lebow on the relation to the capitellum. There was also a small joint effusion. The findings may have been due to sequela of chronic injury. [Dept. Exh. 22-23].
- 11. On Petitioner's Nurse Practitioner submitted a letter indicating that Petitioner was under her medical care and was unable to work. The Nurse Practitioner indicated that Petitioner had been receiving care for injuries sustained in a motor vehicle accident and was currently being treated by pain management, along with other specialists for additional health issues. [Petitioner Exh. B, p 1].
- Petitioner has been diagnosed with chronic bilateral low back pain without sciatica, bilateral neck pain, type 2 diabetes, vitamin D deficiency, chronic fatigue, and dysthymia.

- 13. Petitioner is a year-old woman, born on year-old. She is pounds. She has a high school education and some college. She last worked in August 2014.
- 14. Petitioner was appealing the denial of Social Security disability at the time of the hearing.
- 15. Petitioner's impairments have lasted, or are expected to last, continuously for a period of 90 days or longer.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Current legislative amendments to the Act delineate eligibility criteria as implemented by department policy set forth in program manuals. 2004 PA 344, Sec. 604, establishes the State Disability Assistance program. It reads in part:

Sec. 604 (1). The department shall operate a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempt from the Supplemental Security Income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting one or more of the following requirements:

(b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Specifically, this Act provides minimal cash assistance to individuals with some type of severe, temporary disability which prevents him or her from engaging in substantial gainful work activity for at least ninety (90) days.

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he or she:

- Receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, see Other Benefits or Services below, or
- •Resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- •Is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.
- •Is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS), see Medical Certification of Disability. BEM 261, pp 1-2 (7/1/2014).

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months (90 days for SDA). 20 CFR 416.905(a). The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CRF 413.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.929(a). establish disability. Similarly, conclusory statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require several factors to be considered including: (1) the location/duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effectiveness/side effects of any medication the applicant takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and, (4) the effect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(3). The applicant's pain must be assessed

to determine the extent of his or her functional limitation(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2).

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1). The five-step analysis requires the trier of fact to consider an individual's current work activity; the severity of the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to determine whether an individual can perform past relevant work; and residual functional capacity along with vocational factors (e.g., age, education, and work experience) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945.

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need to evaluate subsequent steps. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If a determination cannot be made that an individual is disabled, or not disabled, at a particular step, the next step is required. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If an impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an individual's residual functional capacity is assessed before moving from Step 3 to Step 4. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945. Residual functional capacity is the most an individual can do despite the limitations based on all relevant evidence. 20 CFR 945(a)(1). An individual's residual functional capacity assessment is evaluated at both Steps 4 and 5. 416.920(a)(4). In determining disability, an individual's functional capacity to perform basic work activities is evaluated and if found that the individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, disability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv). In general, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CFR 416.912(a). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a). The individual has the responsibility to provide evidence of prior work experience; efforts to work; and any other factor showing how the impairment affects the ability to work. 20 CFR 416.912(c)(3)(5)(6).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

As outlined above, the first step looks at the individual's current work activity. In the record presented, Petitioner is not involved in substantial gainful activity and testified that she has not worked since August 2014. Therefore, she is not disqualified from receiving disability benefits under Step 1.

The severity of the individual's alleged impairment(s) is considered under Step 2. The individual bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairments. In order to be considered disabled for MA purposes, the impairment must be severe. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(b). An impairment, or combination of impairments, is severe if it significantly

limits an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities regardless of age, education and work experience. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(c). Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. 20 CFR 916.921(b). Examples include:

- Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. *Id.*

The second step allows for dismissal of a disability claim obviously lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v Bowen*, 880 F2d 860, 862 (CA 6, 1988). The severity requirement may still be employed as an administrative convenience to screen out claims that are totally groundless solely from a medical standpoint. *Id.* at 863 *citing Farris v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 773 F2d 85, 90 n.1 (CA 6, 1985). An impairment qualifies as non-severe only if, regardless of a petitioner's age, education, or work experience, the impairment would not affect the petitioner's ability to work. *Salmi v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 774 F2d 685, 692 (CA 6, 1985).

In the present case, Petitioner alleges disability due to a motor vehicle accident causing back pain, migraines, and diabetes.

As previously noted, Petitioner bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairment(s). As summarized above, Petitioner has presented some limited medical evidence establishing that she does have some physical limitations on her ability to perform basic work activities, based on her Nurse Practitioner's letter. The medical evidence has established that Petitioner has an impairment, or combination thereof, that has more than a *de minimis* effect on Petitioner's basic work activities. Further, the impairments have lasted continuously for twelve months; therefore, Petitioner is not disqualified from receipt of MA-P benefits under Step 2.

In the third step of the sequential analysis of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the individual's impairment, or combination of impairments, is listed in

Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. Petitioner has alleged back pain, migraines and diabetes.

Petitioner has the burden of establishing her disability. The record evidence was insufficient to meet a listing. While there was evidence of back problems and diabetes, there was no evidence that her back pain or diabetes was severe enough to meet a listing. Therefore, the analysis continues to Step 4.

Before considering step four of the sequential evaluation process, the Administrative Law Judge must first determine Petitioner's residual functional capacity. (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416.920(e)). An individual's residual functional capacity is his/her ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his/her impairments. In making this finding, all of Petitioner's impairments, including impairments that are not severe, must be considered. (20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Based on the record evidence, Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to perform sedentary work as defined in 20 CFR 404.1567(a). In making this finding, the Administrative Law Judge considered all Petitioner's symptoms and the extent to which these symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the objective medical evidence and other evidence.

Petitioner testified that she has chronic back pain, migraines, and diabetes. She also stated she had had gall bladder surgery, had an epidural to control her back pain, and was prescribed Emitrex for her migraines. She also took Tylenol 3, Sonoma, and Norco for her back pain.

Petitioner also stated that she could only walk a block or two, stand for 5-10 minutes, sit for 20 minutes, and carry less than five pounds.

After considering the evidence of record, the Administrative Law Judge finds that Petitioner's medically determinable impairments could reasonably be expected to produce the alleged symptoms, and that Petitioner's statements concerning the intensity, persistence and limiting effects of these symptoms are partially credible.

Next, the Administrative Law Judge must determine at step four whether Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of her past relevant work. (20 CFR 404.1520(f) and 416.920(f)). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the petitioner actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the petitioner to learn to do the job and have been substantial gainful activity (SGA). (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to do her past relevant work, Petitioner is not disabled. If Petitioner is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

Petitioner's past relevant employment was as a title executive. The demands of Petitioner's past relevant work do not exceed the residual functional capacity. Despite finding Petitioner is able to return to her past relevant work, the analysis of the last step will continue.

At the last step of the sequential evaluation process (20 CFR 404.1520(g) and 416.920(g)), the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether Petitioner is able to do any other work considering his/her residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If Petitioner is able to do other work, he/she is not disabled. If Petitioner is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirements, he/she is disabled.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a). Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. 20 CFR 416.967(b). Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c). Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that Petitioner does have residual function capacity. The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. See discussion at Step 2 above.

In this case, Petitioner alleged chronic back pain, migraines, and diabetes. Petitioner has type 2 diabetes and did not report any problems as a result of her diabetes. Petitioner was prescribed medication for her migraines and did not report a pattern of recurring or frequent migraines. There was nothing in the record evidence supporting the chronic back pain she complained of. The only MRI was of Petitioner's right elbow. The only ultrasound provided was of Petitioner's pelvis.

Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does establish that Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to perform other work. Petitioner is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that she has not established by objective medical evidence that she cannot perform sedentary work. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger individual aged 45 - 49 (Petitioner is years of age), with a high school education and some college, with a skilled or semi-skilled non-transferable work history, who can perform even only sedentary work is not considered disabled pursuant to Medical-Vocational Rule 201.21.

Petitioner has not presented the required competent, material, and substantial evidence which would support a finding that Petitioner has an impairment or combination of impairments which would significantly limit the physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.920(c). Although Petitioner has cited medical problems, the clinical documentation submitted by Petitioner is not sufficient to establish a finding that Petitioner is disabled. There is no objective medical evidence to substantiate Petitioner's claim that the alleged impairment(s) are severe enough to reach the criteria and definition of disabled. Accordingly, Petitioner is not disabled for the purposes of the Medical Assistance disability (MA-P) program.

The Department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older. BEM, Item 261, p. 1. Because Petitioner does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program and because the evidence of record does not establish that Petitioner is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the Petitioner does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits.

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that Petitioner was not eligible to receive State Disability Assistance.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Petitioner not disabled for purposes of the SDA benefit program.

Accordingly, the Department's determination is **AFFIRMED**.

VLA/bb

Vicki Armstrong

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

