Ø

RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: March 17, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-019134 Agency No.: Petitioner:

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on the formation of the second devices and the second devices are presented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Table Second devices are presented by Table Second devices are presented devices are presented devices are

#### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's SDA benefits effective

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner is an ongoing recipient of SDA benefits.
- 2. On **Example 1**, Petitioner completed forms required for her annual SDA review which included an authorization to release medical records.
- 3. On **Example 1**, Petitioner revoked the authorization which would allow her case to be reviewed for eligibility.
- 4. On **Example 1**, the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action which notified Petitioner that her SDA benefits would close effective as a result of the revocation.

5. On **Department's actions**, Petitioner filed a Request for Hearing disputing the Department's actions.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Health and Human Services (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

Additionally, the Department must periodically redetermine or renew an individual's eligibility for active programs. The redetermination/renewal process includes thorough review of all eligibility factors. BAM 210 (January 2017), p. 1. In this case, Petitioner testified that she has been receiving SDA benefits for the past three years. The Department indicated that each year, Petitioner is required to complete forms which include the release of medical records to allow for a redetermination of her eligibility for SDA benefits.

On **Construction**, Petitioner signed and returned the required forms. On , Petitioner returned to a local Department office and signed a revocation of the release of medical records. Notwithstanding the revocation, the Department sent Petitioner's packet of information to the Medical Review Team (MRT). The MRT denied Petitioner's benefits as a result of the revocation. On **Construction**, the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action which notified her that her SDA benefits would close effective

Petitioner testified that she did not want to sign the release of medical records form on Petitioner indicated that she talked the matter over with her worker and was told that she could revoke her prior authorization. Petitioner confirmed that she went into a Department office and revoked her previous authorization. Petitioner further confirmed that her assigned worker informed her that it was possible that she may not receive benefits if she revoked the authorization. Accordingly, Petitioner revoked her authorization for the release of her medical records with the understanding that it could negatively impact her receipt of benefits.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's SDA benefits effective

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

JM/hw

ligue MC

**Jacquelyn A. McClinton** Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Page 5 of 5 16-019134 <u>JM</u>

DHHS

Petitioner