RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: March 1, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-018944

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 30, 2017, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. Petitioner's wife and group member, also attended the hearing. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by , Eligibility Specialist, , Family Independence Manager, and , Family Independence Manager. **ISSUE** Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's application for MA benefits? FINDINGS OF FACT The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Petitioner and his wife applied for MA benefits on _______.
 On _______, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Supplemental Questionnaire which was required to be returned on or before ______.

	Notice which notified Petitioner that the benefits had been denied.
4.	On, Petitioner filed a Request for Hearing disputing the Department's actions.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Brido Brido Table	artment policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services ges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services ges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference es Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency of Manual (ERM).
Act, colle as a 111- of Hu	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the ctive term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, mended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 152; and 42 CFR 430.1025. The Department (formerly known as the Department uman Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, MCL 400.105112k.
be n wife The withi	is case, Petitioner and his wife applied for benefits on oted that a prior application was submitted relating to MA benefits for Petitioner's only. The Department approved Petitioner's wife for Emergency Services Only. decision by the Department to grant Emergency Services Only was not appealed in 90 days, and therefore on the period application for MA benefits will be addressed in this decision.
Cove on o Que	Department testified that on, it sent Petitioner a Health Care erage Supplemental Questionnaire, which was due to be completed and returned reference. The DHS-1004, Health Care Coverage Supplemental stionnaire, is used to gather additional information when the applicant indicates a bility on the DCH-1426. BEM 105 (October 2016), p. 3.
docu atter Supp belie appli the	Department indicated that Petitioner returned the document but failed to sign the ment, and as a result, it was not valid. The Department stated because Petitioner applied to timely return the document, it sent a second Health Care Coverage Demental Questionnaire to Petitioner on the Department of the Department acknowledged at Decision that the Questionnaire had been received. However, as of the date of the Decision of the Department of th

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's application for MA benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reregister and reprocess Petitioner's application for MA benefits;
- 2. Issue supplements Petitioner was entitled to receive but did not; and
- 3. Notify Petitioner in writing of its decision.

JM/hw

Jacquelyn A. McClinton Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 **DHHS**

Petitioner