RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: February 24, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-018739

Agency No.:

Petitioner:

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Steven Kibit**

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, and upon the Petitioner's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a telephone hearing was	held on February 15, 2017. Petitioner
appeared and testified on her own behalf.	Appeals Review Officer,
represented the Respondent Department of	Health and Human Services (DHHS or
Department). Medicaid Utilizat	tion Analyst, testified as a witness for the
Department.	

#### ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's prior authorization request for a partial lower denture?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On or about September 15, 2016, the Department received a prior authorization request submitted on Petitioner's behalf by a dentist and requesting partial upper and lower dentures. (Exhibit A, page 7).
- 2. As part of that request, the submitted documentation indicated that Petitioner was missing six lower posterior teeth, but still had four lower posterior teeth. (Exhibit A, page 7; Testimony of Department's witness).
- 3. The request for the partial upper denture was approved. (Exhibit A, page 7; Testimony of Department's witness).

- 4. On October 6, 2016, the Department sent Petitioner written notice that her prior authorization request for a partial lower denture was denied pursuant to the policy that complete or partial dentures are only authorized if there are less than eight posterior teeth in occlusion, *i.e.* biting together. (Exhibit A, pages 5-6).
- 5. On December 27, 2016, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) received the request for hearing filed in this matter regarding that denial. (Exhibit A, page 4).

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statutes, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Medicaid covered benefits are addressed for the practitioners and beneficiaries in the Medicaid Provider Manual (MPM). Regarding the specific request in this case, the applicable version of the MPM states:

# **6.6 PROSTHODONTICS (REMOVABLE)**

# 6.6.A. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Complete and partial dentures are benefits for all beneficiaries. All dentures require PA. Providers must assess the beneficiary's general oral health and provide a five-year prognosis for the prosthesis requested. An upper partial denture PA request must also include the prognosis of six sound teeth.

# <u>Complete or partial dentures are authorized when</u> one or more of the following conditions exist:

- One or more anterior teeth are missing.
- There are less than eight posterior teeth in occlusion (fixed bridges and dentures are to be considered occluding teeth).
- An existing complete or partial denture cannot be made serviceable through repair, relining,

adjustment, or duplicating (rebasing) procedures.

If an existing complete or partial denture can be made serviceable, the dentist should provide the needed restorations to maintain use of the existing removable prosthesis. This includes extracting teeth, adding teeth to the existing prosthesis, and removing hyperplastic tissue as necessary to restore the functionality of the complete or partial denture.

Before the final impressions are taken for the fabrication of a complete or partial denture, adequate healing necessary to support the prosthesis must take place following the completion of extractions and/or surgical procedures. This includes the posterior ridges of any immediate denture. When an immediate denture is authorized involving the six anterior teeth (cuspid to cuspid), this requirement is waived.

Reimbursement for a complete or partial denture includes all necessary adjustments, relines, repairs, and duplications within six months of insertion. This also includes such services necessary for an immediate upper denture when authorized. If any necessary adjustments or repairs are identified within the six month time period but are not provided until after the six month time period, no additional reimbursement is allowed for these services.

Complete or partial dentures are not authorized when:

- A previous prosthesis has been provided within five years, whether or not the existing denture was obtained through Medicaid.
- An adjustment, reline, repair, or duplication will make them serviceable.
- Replacement of a complete or partial denture that has been lost or broken beyond repair is not a benefit within five years, whether or not the existing denture was obtained through Medicaid.

When denture services have commenced but irreversible circumstances have prevented delivery, the dentist should bill using the Not Otherwise Classified (NOC) procedure code. A copy of the lab bill and an explanation in the Remarks section of the claim must be included. Providers are paid a reduced rate to offset a portion of the costs incurred. It is the expectation that the probability of removable appliances being delivered and follow-up treatment completed is assessed prior to the initiation of evaluate whether the treatment is treatment to appropriate for the specific patient. Contact the Review Division (PRD) regarding the requirements for incomplete dentures. (Refer to the Directory Appendix for contact information.)

> MPM, October 1, 2016 version Dental Chapter, pages 19-20 (Emphasis added)

Here, the Department's witness testified that Petitioner's prior authorization request for a partial lower denture was denied pursuant to the above policy. Specifically, she noted that the request was denied because, per the documentation submitted, Petitioner has eight posterior teeth in occlusion, *i.e.* biting together, when considering her four lower posterior teeth and the approved partial upper denture.

In response, Petitioner agreed that she has no back lower teeth, which makes it hard to eat and digest food. She also testified that she is getting her partial upper denture placed this week.

Petitioner bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the Department erred in denying her prior authorization request. Moreover, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge reviews the Department's decision in light of the information that was available at the time the decision was made.

Given the undisputed record in this case, Petitioner has failed to meet her burden of proof and the Department's decision must be affirmed. The above policy clearly provides that a partial lower denture would only be authorized in this case if Petitioner has less than eight posterior teeth in occlusion and, here, the record demonstrates that Petitioner will have eight posterior teeth in occlusion, *i.e.* biting together, when the approved partial upper denture and her existing lower teeth are considered. Petitioner may be missing some lower posterior teeth, but her chart clearly reflects that she still has four lower posterior teeth that can bite together with four upper posterior teeth/dentures.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, decides that the Department properly denied Petitioner's prior authorization request for a partial lower denture.

## IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

SK/tm

The Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Steven Kibit

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30763 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

