RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: February 2, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-018183 Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl Johnson

# HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 24, 2017, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner appeared on her own behalf. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Hearings Facilitator

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was an on-going recipient of the MA Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP) that was provided in response to her January 14, 2016, application (Exhibit A Pages 8-17), in which she had reported that she was not employed (Page 11).
- 2. On October 26, 2016, the Department received a Wage Match Client Notice (Pages 20-25) which indicated Petitioner had begun working on January 16, 2015, and was still employed as a substitute teacher.
- 3. Petitioner's wages in October and November 2016 totaled **\$ and \$ and**

- 4. The Department also discovered that she had begun receiving retirement benefits from the Social Security Administration (Page 7) on July 7, 2016, and had been receiving **\$10000000** per month.
- 5. On November 14, 2016, the Department sent a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice (Pages 26-29) informing Petitioner that her HMP was being closed because her annual income exceeded the **\$** annual limit for a household of one between the ages of 19 and 64.
- 6. The Department received Petitioner's hearing request on November 28, 2016, protesting the closure of her HMP.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Petitioner had earned income of approximately **\$** per month as a substitute teacher. She had unearned income of **\$** per month from Social Security retirement benefits. To be eligible for HMP, her income had to be less than **\$** per month. Whether measured against her retirement income or her teacher income, she exceeded the limit. When her income is combined, she is indisputably over the limit. Because she was over the limit, the Department could not continue to provide her with HMP.

Page 3 of 4 16-018183 DJ/mc

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's HMP.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Canaj Kitoloz

**Darryl Johnson** Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DJ/mc

Page 4 of 4 16-018183 DJ/mc

