RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: February 16, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-018148

Agency No.:

Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on ________. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by ________, Family Independence Manager.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's application for SER benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On Relief (SER) benefits for assistance to prevent eviction.
- 2. On _____, the Department sent Petitioner and SER Decision Notice which notified Petitioner that her request for financial assistance had been denied.
- 3. On ______, Petitioner filed a Request for Hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001-.7049.

Additionally, persons at imminent risk of homelessness must provide a court summons, order or judgment resulting from an eviction action. In this case, the Department testified that it denied Petitioner's request for assistance to prevent eviction because she failed to provide a court order. The Department was unable to state whether a Verification Checklist was sent to Petitioner prior to the closure.

Petitioner testified that she received documentation from the Department requesting the court order on or before November 18, 2016. Petitioner further testified that she personally brought the court order the Department on November 18, 2016. Petitioner brought a copy of the court order dated November 15, 2016 to the hearing. The document was viewed and verified by the Department witness. Because Petitioner had a court order dated near the date of her application; testified that she personally delivered the document to the Department timely; and because the Department was unable to state whether a Verification Checklist was sent to Petitioner allowing her until November 18, 2016 to provide the necessary documentation, it is found that the Department failed to demonstrate that it properly denied Petitioner's November 9, 2016 application for SER benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's application for SER benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reregister and reprocess Petitioner's penetration application for SER benefits; and

2. Notify her in writing of its decision.

JM/hw

Jacquelyn A. McClinton

Administrative Law Judge

for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS	
Petitioner	