RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: February 15, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-018047 Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by fully Specialist.

ISSUE

- 1. Did the Department properly close the Petitioner's Family Independence Program (FIP)?
- 2. Did the Department reduce the Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits for noncompliance with Partnership.Accountability.Training.Hope. (PATH) requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Petitioner was an ongoing recipient of FAP and FIP.
- 2. On program after the Medical Review Team (MRT) found no disability that would cause her to not be able to attend PATH.

- 3. When the Petitioner did not attend the Department, PATH appointment, the Department sent the Petitioner a Notice of Noncompliance/case closure scheduled for the sector of Noncompliance.
- 4. On effective , the Department took action to close the Petitioner's FIP effective , and decrease the Petitioner's FAP benefits to **\$** per month, effective .
- 5. On **example 1**, Petitioner requested a hearing to protest the Department's actions following the MRT decision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, the Petitioner was found by the Medical Review Team (MRT) to be able to attend and participate in PATH.

Upon the Department's previous decision that the Petitioner was able to participate in PATH, the Petitioner requested another hearing.

The undersigned ALJ questioned the Petitioner as to whether she had new information to present to the Department and MRT. The Petitioner replied that she did have new medical information and this ALJ suggested that she reapply with the new information.

Although the Petitioner sought to appeal the MRT decision in the present case that is not within the delegated jurisdiction of the Michigan Administrative Hearing System.

The documentation presented at this hearing supports the Department's decision to close the Petitioner's FIP benefits and decrease the FAP benefits based on noncompliance with PATH requirements.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied the Petitioner's medical exclusion and referred her to PATH again. When the Petitioner failed to attend PATH on , she was again found in non-compliance with PATH.

Accordingly, the Department's decision to close FIP benefits and decrease FAP benefits is **AFFIRMED**.

MJB/jaf

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Page 4 of 5 16-018047 <u>MJB</u>

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Page 5 of 5 16-018047 <u>MJB</u>

DHHS

Petitioner

