RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16 and 45 CFR 235.110; and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 23, 2017, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Respondent and his father, participated in the hearing.

ISSUE

- 1. Did the Department establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?
- 2. Did Respondent receive an over-issuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the clear and convincing evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 1, 1999, Respondent was convicted of a drug related felony.
- 2. On February 8, 2002, Respondent was convicted of another drug related felony.

GH

- On July 31, 2014, Respondent submitted a signed Redetermination (DHS-1010) for Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility re-determination. On the Redetermination (DHS-1010), Respondent fraudulently stated that he had not been convicted of any drug related felonies.
- 4. On July 14, 2015, Respondent submitted a signed Redetermination (DHS-1010) for Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility re-determination. On the Redetermination (DHS-1010), Respondent fraudulently stated that he had not been convicted of any drug related felonies.
- 5. On May 10, 2016, Respondent submitted and signed an Assistance Application (DHHS-1171). In the Assistance Application (DHHS-1171) Respondent fraudulently stated that he had not been convicted of any drug related felonies.
- 6. Respondent had no apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit his understanding or ability to provide true and accurate information in the Redetermination (DHS-1010).
- 7. Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) by intentionally failing to report that he had been convicted of two separate drug related felonies prior to August 22, 1996.
- 8. In accordance with Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 720 July 1, 2014 to August 31, 2016 has correctly been determined as the over-issuance period associated with this Intentional Program Violation (IPV).
- 9. During the over-issuance period, Respondent received a over-issuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- 10. This is Respondent's 1st Intentional Program Violation (IPV).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 720 Intentional Program Violation (10-1-2015) governs the Department's actions in this case. OIG requests IPV hearing for cases involving:

- 1. FAP trafficking over-issuances that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- 2. Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, **and**

The total amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs combined is \$500 or more, **or**

The total amount is less than \$500, and

The group has a previous IPV, or

The alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or

The alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or

The alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee.

INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

BAM 720 states that a suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

The client intentionally failed to report information or intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and

The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, and

The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill reporting responsibilities.

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. In other words, the Department must show that the Respondent engaged in a fraudulent act or omission they knew would result in receiving assistance they were not eligible for.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 203 Criminal Justice Disqualifications, at page 4 states:

DRUG-RELATED FELONY

FIP and FAP

1st Offense

A person who has been convicted of a felony for the use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances is disqualified if:

Terms of probation or parole are violated, **and**The qualifying conviction occurred after August 22, 1996.

If an individual is not in violation of the terms of probation or parole:

FIP benefits must be paid in the form of restricted payments. Receipt of FAP benefits requires an authorized representative.

2nd Offense

An individual convicted of a felony for the use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances two or more times in separate periods will be permanently disqualified if both offenses occurred after August 22, 1996.

In this case, the Department presented an Assistance Application (DHHS-1171) and two Redeterminations (DHS-1010) that Respondent signed and submitted to the Department prior to, and during, the alleged OI period. During this hearing Respondent testified that he signed the forms but asserted that someone else had filled out the paperwork for him. All three of the applications which Respondent signed contain an affidavit, which states:

Under penalties of perjury, I swear or affirm that this application has been examined by me or read to me, and, to the best of my knowledge, the facts are true and complete . . . I certify, under penalty of perjury, that all the information I have written on this form or told to my DHS specialist or my representative is true. I understand I can be prosecuted for perjury if I have intentionally given false or misleading information, misrepresented, hidden or withheld facts which caused me to receive assistance I should not have received or more assistance than I should have received. I can be prosecuted for fraud and/or required to repay the amount wrongfully received.

Additionally, Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility requires an interview during which the Department case worker verifies the information in the applications. Respondent's signature of the documents binds him to the consequences of the misrepresentation of his criminal record.

There is clear and convincing evidence that Respondent intentionally misrepresented his criminal record in order to receive benefits he was not eligible for. Therefore, the Department has established that Respondent committed an IPV.

OVER-ISSUANCE

Over-issuance Period

BAM 720 states that the over-issuance period begins the first month (or pay period for CDC) benefit issuance exceeds the amount allowed by policy **or** 72 months (6 years) before the date it was referred to the RS, whichever is later.

To determine the first month of the over-issuance period (for over-issuances 11/97 or later) Bridges allows time for:

The client reporting period, per BAM 105.

The full standard of promptness (SOP) for change processing, per BAM 220.

The full negative action suspense period.

The over-issuance period ends the month (or pay period for CDC) before the benefit is corrected.

7 CFR § 273.16 Disqualification for intentional Program violation

(e) Disqualification Hearings (8) Imposition of disqualification penalties (i) states:

If the hearing authority rules that the individual has committed an intentional Program violation, the household member must be disqualified in accordance with the disqualification periods and procedures in paragraph (b) of this section. The same act of intentional Program violation repeated over a period of time must not be separated so that separate penalties can be imposed.

In this case, the Department submitted evidence showing that Respondent submitted a fraudulent application and Redeterminations (DHS-1010). Therefore any benefit periods approved as a result of the fraudulent documents are properly part of the over-issuance period.

Over-issuance Amount

BAM 720 states the over-issuance amount is the benefit amount the client actually received minus the amount the client was actually eligible to receive. The Department presented evidence showing that the State of Michigan issued Respondent a total of in Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits during the over-issuance period.

Respondent was not eligible for any Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits during the over-issuance period. Respondent received a ver-issuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

DISQUALIFICATION

In accordance with 7 CFR §273.16(e)(8)(i), BAM 720 states that a court or hearing decision that finds a client committed an IPV disqualifies that client from receiving

program benefits. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits.

Clients who commit an IPV are disqualified for a standard disqualification period of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV and a lifetime disqualification for the third IPV.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) which resulted in a over-issuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup in accordance with Department policies in BAM 705, BAM 710, BAM 720, and BAM 725.

This is Respondent's 1st Intentional Program Violation (IPV) of the Food Assistance Program (FAP) and the Department must disqualify Respondent from receiving Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in accordance with 7 CFR §273.16(e)(8)(i) and Bridges Administration Manual (BAM) 720.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Health and Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

Gary Heisler

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

