RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: January 27, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-019014 Agency No.: Petitioner: Respondent:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 24, 2017, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner appeared on her own behalf. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Recoupment Specialist

ISSUE

Did Petitioner receive an over-issuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner was a recipient of FAP benefits from the Department.
- 2. The Department alleges Petitioner received a FAP OI during the period January 1, 2016, through May 31, 2016, due to Petitioner's error.
- 3. The Department alleges that Respondent received a **\$ 100 OI** that is still due and owing to the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

As stated in BAM 700 (5/1/14) p 1, "When a client group receives more benefits than it is entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the overissuance." As explained in BAM 725 (10/1/15) p. 1, "When the client group or CDC provider receives more benefits than entitled to receive, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) must attempt to recoup the overissuance." That is true regardless of whether the error is caused by the Department, the client, or a provider.

Petitioner and her family were approved for **S** per month in FAP. That was based on her not having any earned income as reported in her redetermination (Page 7). Petitioner began working on October 6, 2015. Petitioner was insistent – and credible – in her testimony that she reported her employment to the Department at the time she returned to work. The Department made no adjustment for the earned income, and continued to pay benefits. The Department subsequently received wage information from her Employer (Pages 17-19) showing she received her income beginning January 8, 2016. It then calculated her FAP award for the months of January through May of 2016, based upon actual income (Pages 20-32), and found that she was not eligible to receive any FAP. Although Petitioner testified, again credibly, that some of the figures used in her FAP budget were incorrect, she acknowledged that she had not provided the Department with information at the time the benefits were being paid to correct such errors.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department established a FAP benefit OI to Respondent totaling

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department is **AFFIRMED**.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures for a **\$ OI** in accordance with Department policy.

Darryl Johnson Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DJ/mc

Page 4 of 4 16-019014 DJ/mc

