RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: January 17, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-017357

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on January 5, 2017, from Flint, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Hearing Facilitator

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's State Emergency Relief (SER) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On November 3, 2016, Petitioner applied for State Emergency Relief for assistance with a water utility service deposit and connection fees totaling
- 2. On November 4, 2016, a State Emergency Relief Decision Notice was sent to Petitioner informing her that her application was denied because "Your income/asset copayment is equal to or greater than the amount needed to resolve the emergency. (Dept. Ex.1, pp. 8-11)
- 3. Petitioner receives SSI benefits of per month and FIP benefits of per month.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001-.7049.

Income Copayment

4.

A group is eligible for non-energy SER services with respect to income if the total combined monthly net income that is received or expected to be received by all group members in the 30-day countable income period does not exceed the standards found in Exhibit I, SER Income Need Standards for Non-Energy Services.

Income that is more than the basic monthly income need standard for the number of group members must be deducted from the cost of resolving the emergency. This is the income copayment.

There are no income copayments for SER energy services. With respect to income, clients are either eligible or they are not. For a group to be eligible for energy services, the combined monthly net income that is received or expected to be received by all group members in the 30-day countable income period, cannot exceed the standard for SER energy/LIHEAP services for the number of group members. If the income exceeds the limit, the request must be denied; see Exhibit II, SER Income Need Standards for Energy Services.

Total Copayment

The income and asset copayments combined together determine the SER group's total copayment.

The total copayment is the amount the SER group must pay toward their emergency. Copayment amounts are deducted from the cost of resolving the emergency.

Example: The applicant has a water shutoff for \$100. The asset copayment is \$15 and the income copayment is \$100. Subtract the total \$115 copayment from the \$100 need. SER is denied because the copayment exceeds the need. ERM 208

In this case, Petitioner has income totaling 1 from SSI and FIP benefits, Petitioner did not dispute the amount of her income at hearing. After subtracting the income need standard the Department determined that Petitioner's co-payment would be since was greater than the payment Petitioner was seeking for her water deposit and connection fees the SER application was denied. ERM 208

At hearing, Petitioner questioned why her previous SER request in 2015 had been approved if her income was the same in 2016. It was explained that the undersigned administrative law judge could only address the department action that occurred within 90 days of the request for hearing. After reviewing the November 2016 denial, Petitioner's copayment of was greater than the payment she was seeking to turn on her water service. Therefore the denial was proper and correct and comported with department policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's SER application.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Am mileti

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

Page 4 of 4 16-017357 <u>AM</u>/db

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

