RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: January 5, 2017 MAHS Docket No.: 16-017091

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 14, 2016, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's SER application for assistance to prevent eviction?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On _____, Petitioner applied for SER benefits seeking assistance to prevent an eviction for non-payment of rent.
- 2. On the Department sent Petitioner a SER Decision Notice which notified Petitioner that her application had been denied.
- 3. On Department's actions. Petitioner filed a Request for Hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001-.7049.

On Petitioner applied for SER assistance relating to rent expenses. On Petitioner a State Emergency Relief Decision Notice which notified Petitioner that her application had been denied because her income/asset copayment was equal to or greater than the amount needed to resolve the emergency. The amount requested for rent assistance was

In processing an application for SER assistance with rent arrearage, the Department must verify a client's shelter expenses for the six months preceding the client's application. ERM 303 (October 2015), p. 4. If the client has not made required payments, which are actual shelter costs, **and** has no good cause for the nonpayment, the client must pay the shortfall. ERM 303, p. 4; ERM 204 (August 2014), p. 1; ERM 208 (October 2015), p. 4. Good cause for a failure to prevent a housing emergency exists if either of the following conditions are met: (i) the SER group's net countable income from all sources during each month the group failed to pay its obligations was less than the amount shown for the SER group size in the good cause table in ERM 204 provided that the income was not reduced because of a disqualification of SSI or Department benefits for failure to comply with a program requirement; or (ii) the emergency resulted from unexpected expenses related to maintaining or securing employment, which expenses equal or exceed the monthly obligation. ERM 204, pp 1-2.

The Department submitted a budget in support of its contention that the copayment amount would have been equal to or greater than then amount needed to resolve the In calculating Petitioner's eligibility for SER benefits, the Department emergency. indicated that Petitioner earned from employment. On the application for benefits, Petitioner indicated that she worked one position which paid and that she worked 40 hours per week. Petitioner held another position in which she per hour and worked 18 hours per week. This calculates to a monthly earned income amount of significantly less than The Department was . unable to articulate how it arrived at the earned income amount. Accordingly. it is found that the Department failed to properly calculate Petitioner's eligibility for SER benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's application for SER benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reregister and reprocess Petitioner's SER application; and

2. Notify Petitioner in writing of its decision.

JM/hw

Jacquelyn A. McClinton

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 **DHHS**

Petitioner