



RICK SNYDER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
Christopher Seppanen  
Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: October 21, 2016  
MAHS Docket No.: 16-013409  
Agency No.: [REDACTED]  
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Darryl Johnson

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 13, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner appeared on his own behalf. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Hearings Facilitator [REDACTED].

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner was an on-going FAP recipient.
2. On July 21, 2016, the Department received a completed Redetermination (Exhibit 1 Pages 6-11) where Petitioner reported that he had no assets such as savings or checking accounts.
3. During a prior review, Petitioner had reported that he had an account with [REDACTED], and the Department called Petitioner on August 1, 2016, to determine whether he still had the bank account.

4. Petitioner reported that he had closed the account with [REDACTED], and on August 1, 2016, the Department mailed a Verification Checklist (Pages 12 and 15), requiring him to get verification that the account had closed. His due date for submitting the verification was August 11, 2016.
5. The Department mailed a Notice of Case Action on August 31, 2016, (Pages 13-14) informing Petitioner that his FAP was closing effective September 1, 2016, because he did not provide verification of his bank account.
6. The Department received Petitioner's hearing request on September 13, 2016, protesting the closure of his FAP.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

In this case, Petitioner had a responsibility of verifying that his bank account had closed. Verification is an essential part of the Department's ability to determine an individual's eligibility to receive benefits such as FAP.

Per BAM 130 (7/1/16), p. 7 the Department is to:

“Send a negative action notice when:

“The client indicates refusal to provide a verification, **or**

“The time period given has elapsed and the client has **not** made a reasonable effort to provide it.”

Further guidance is found in BAM 130, p. 4:

“The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help.

“If neither the client nor you can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If no evidence is available, use your best judgment.”


The issue is whether the Petitioner provided timely verification in response to the request. Petitioner testified that he received the verification form, but he did not call the Department about it until after his FAP was closed. The evidence from the Department indicates the necessary verification was not received by the deadline. Petitioner has not provided any admissible evidence to counter the Department’s evidence.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner’s FAP application.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department’s decision is **AFFIRMED**.

DJ/mc



---

**Darryl Johnson**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**DHHS**

[REDACTED]

**Petitioner**

[REDACTED]