



RICK SNYDER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
Christopher Seppanen  
Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: May 24, 2016  
MAHS Docket No.: 16-005376  
Agency No.: [REDACTED]  
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic**

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 18, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] testified for the Department.

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's FIP case and impose sanction for failing to comply with PATH requirements?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner was a recipient of FIP benefits.
2. Household member [REDACTED] was assigned to participate with PATH.
3. On April 6, 2016, [REDACTED] failed to appear for assigned activity.
4. Notice of Noncompliance was sent on April 6, 2016, and triage was scheduled for April 13, 2016.

5. Notice of Case Action was sent on April 6, 2016, regarding the FIP closure and FAP increase after the decrease in unearned income.
6. Triage was rescheduled at held on April 14, 2016.
7. At triage on April 14, 2016, [REDACTED] was found to not have a good cause and the case was processed for closure.
8. On April 20, 2016, Petitioner requested hearing disputing the closure of FIP benefits.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

In this case, household member [REDACTED] was assigned to WEP activity to meet PATH requirements. [REDACTED] did not complete the required hours and did not provide logs showing completion of hours. [REDACTED] from [REDACTED] credibly testified that [REDACTED] walked off the assignment and generally performed poorly. [REDACTED] was forthright in her testimony and explained the circumstances plainly and clearly. [REDACTED] did not testify at hearing. Petitioner testified that [REDACTED] would not have said the statements attributed to him by [REDACTED]. Petitioner's testimony was not credible and there was reason to question why [REDACTED] was not available to testify at hearing. [REDACTED] did not have good cause for failing to participate with PATH.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Petitioner's FIP case and imposed sanction for failing to participate with PATH.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

AM/las



---

**Aaron McClintic**

Administrative Law Judge

for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**DHHS**

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**Petitioner**

[REDACTED]