



RICK SNYDER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
Christopher Seppanen  
Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: October 18, 2016  
MAHS Docket No.: 16-001127

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie**

**HEARING DECISION**

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16 and 45 CFR 235.110; and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 28, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by [REDACTED], Recoupment Specialist.

Respondent did not appear. This matter having been initiated by the Department and due notice having been provided to Respondent, the hearing was held in Respondent's absence in accordance with Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 725.

**ISSUE**

Did Respondent receive an over-issuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to Department error?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits from the Department. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 17-19.

2. On October 9, 2010, the Department received a [REDACTED] report stating that a Respondent group member, [REDACTED] was receiving [REDACTED] benefits starting October 2010. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 10-12.
3. The Department alleges Respondent received a FAP OI during the period November 1, 2010, through October 31, 2011, due to **Department** error. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 20-41.
4. The Department alleges that Respondent received [REDACTED] OI that is still due and owing to the Department. Department Exhibit 1, pg. 42.
5. On January 26, 2016, the Department sent the Respondent a notice that she had received an overissuance of FAP benefits due to Department error.
6. On February 4, 2016, the Department received a hearing request from the Respondent, contesting the Department overissuance decision.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits from the Department. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 17-19. On October 9, 2010, the Department received a [REDACTED] report stating that a Respondent group member, [REDACTED] was receiving [REDACTED] benefits starting October 2010. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 10-12. The Department alleges Respondent received a FAP OI during the period November 1, 2010, through October 31, 2011, due to **Department** error. Department Exhibit 1, pgs. 20-41. The Department alleges that Respondent received [REDACTED] OI that is still due and owing to the Department. Department Exhibit 1, pg. 42. On January 26, 2016, the Department sent the Respondent a notice that she had received an overissuance of FAP benefits due to Department error. On February 4, 2016, the Department received a hearing request from the Respondent, contesting the Department overissuance decision. BAM 105, 115, 130, 220, 700, 705, and 725. BEM 500, 503, 550, 554, and 556.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department **did** establish a FAP benefit OI to Respondent totaling [REDACTED] that the Department is required to recoup.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department is **AFFIRMED**.

The Department is **ORDERED** to initiate collection procedures for a [REDACTED] OI in accordance with Department policy.

*Carmen G. Fahie*

**Carmen G. Fahie**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]