

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

MAHS Reg. No.: 16-000094  
Issue No.: 3001  
Agency Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: February 11, 2016  
County: MACOMB-DISTRICT 20

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Eric Feldman**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 11, 2016, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by [REDACTED] (Petitioner). The Department was represented by [REDACTED], Hearings Facilitator.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly close Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits effective [REDACTED]?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
2. On [REDACTED], the Department sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action notifying her that her FAP benefits would close effective [REDACTED], due to her net income exceeding the limits. See Exhibit A, pp. 20-22.
3. The Department acknowledged that it closed Petitioner's FAP benefits in error and indicated that it needed to redetermine her eligibility.
4. On [REDACTED] Petitioner filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action. See Exhibit A, pp. 2-3 and 23-24.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

It is not disputed that the FAP group size is four and that there is a senior/disabled/disabled veteran (SDV) member(s).

BEM 556 states that if the income amount exceeds the maximum monthly net income, then deny benefits. See BEM 556 (July 2013), p. 5. Moreover, a non-categorically eligible SDV FAP group must have income below the net income limits. BEM 550 (October 2015), p. 1. A non-categorically eligible, non-SDV FAP group must have income below the gross and net income limits. BEM 550, p. 1. RFT 250 indicates that the monthly net income (100%) limit for a group size of four is \$2,021. RFT 250 (October 2015), p. 1.

In the present case, the Department closed Petitioner's FAP benefits effective [REDACTED], due to her net income exceeding the limits. See Exhibit A, pp. 20-22. However, shortly after commencement of the hearing, the Department acknowledged that it closed Petitioner's FAP benefits in error and indicated that it needed to redetermine her eligibility using the proper income. Petitioner agreed with the Department's testimony. As such, the undersigned finds that the Department improperly closed Petitioner's FAP benefits effective [REDACTED], in accordance with Department policy. See BEM 556, p. 5; BEM 550, p. 1; and RFT 250, p. 1. The Department will redetermine Petitioner's FAP eligibility effective [REDACTED].

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it improperly closed Petitioner's FAP benefits effective [REDACTED].

Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Redetermine Petitioner's FAP eligibility effective [REDACTED];
2. Recalculate the FAP budget for [REDACTED], ongoing;
3. Issue supplements to Petitioner for any FAP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from [REDACTED], ongoing; and
4. Notify Petitioner of its decision.



---

**Eric Feldman**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: **February 16, 2016**

Date Mailed: **February 16, 2016**

EF / hw

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;

- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

cc:

