RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: December 13, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 16-016734

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned administrative law judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 5, 2016, from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner appeared and was unrepresented. The Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) was represented by manager.

<u>ISSUE</u>

The issue is whether MDHHS properly denied Petitioner's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The administrative law judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On Petitioner applied for FAP benefits.
- 2. Petitioner did not fail to verify information.
- 3. On _____, MDHHS denied Petitioner's FAP application due to alleged failure to verify information.
- 4. On application denial, Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute the FAP application denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. MDHHS (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011. MDHHS policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Petitioner requested a hearing to dispute a denial of a FAP application dated

MDHHS presented a Notice of Case Action (Exhibit 1, pp. 1-2) dated

The stated reason for application denial was Petitioner's failure to verify information.

[For all programs, MDHHS is to] use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist to request verification. BAM 130 (July 2016), p. 3. [MDHHS must] allow the client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verification that is requested. *Id.*, p. 6. [MDHHS] must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date.... *Id.*, p. 3.

Neither the MDHHS case summary nor presented Notice of Case Action stated what verification Petitioner allegedly failed to provide. During the hearing, MDHHS was asked what verification Petitioner did not present. MDHHS responded by conceding that Petitioner did not fail to verify information.

Based on the MDHHS concession, it is found Petitioner did not fail to verify information. Accordingly, the denial of Petitioner's FAP application will be reversed.

It should be noted that MDHHS testimony alleged Petitioner was uncooperative in obtaining child support. The allegation will not be considered, as child support non-cooperation was neither referenced in the MDHHS case summary nor the Notice of Case Action. It is only mentioned because MDHHS indicated Petitioner's alleged non-cooperation may factor in Petitioner's application reprocessing. MDHHS is not barred from factoring Petitioner's possible non-cooperation, nor is Petitioner barred from requesting another hearing if she disputes the outcome of the reprocessed application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The administrative law judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that MDHHS improperly denied Petitioner's FAP application. It is ordered that MDHHS begin to perform the following actions within 10 days of the date of mailing of this decision:

- (1) Re-register Petitioner's FAP application dated ; and
- (2) Initiate reprocessing of Petitioner's application subject to the finding MDHHS failed to establish Petitioner failed to verify information.

The actions taken by MDHHS are **REVERSED**.

CG/hw

Christian Gardocki

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Christin Dordock

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 Petitioner