RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: November 7, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 16-014262

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 31, 2016, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Assistance Payment Supervisor.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly increase Petitioner's FAP benefits effective instead of ?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner is an ongoing FAP recipient.
- 2. On ______, Petitioner submitted an online change report which notified the Department that she would receive her final unemployment benefit payment on _____.
- 3. On which informed Petitioner that her benefits would decrease to per month effective .

4.	On or about , Petitioner met with the Department.		
5.	The Department recalculated Petitioner's eligibility for FAP benefits and on sent Petitioner a Notice of Case Action, which informed Petitioner that her FAP benefits would increase to		
6.	On, Petitioner filed a Request for hearing disputing the Department's failure to increase her FAP benefits effective		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Brid Brid Tab	partment policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services ges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services ges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference les Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency of Manual (ERM).		
esta and Dep purs	Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is ablished by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The partment (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP suant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b, and Mich Admin le, R 400.30013011.		
wou sent	Department testified that it completed a collateral contact search which indicated Petitioner's last unemployment payment would be received on Additionally, because of a change in a particular deduction, Petitioner's benefits lid have been further reduced in October 2016. The Department indicated that it Petitioner a Notice of Case Action advising that her benefits would be reduced in ober 2016 as a result of the change in deduction, and because it showed her last imployment payment was being received on		
that the rece eligi eligi she refle	tioner testified that she completed an online change report on seed the Department that she would receive her last unemployment payment on Although Petitioner did not print her banking information to confirm her last payment was a served on the confirmed that her last payment for unemployment benefits was served on the confirmed that her last payment testified that it recalculated Petitioner's and determined that Petitioner was ble for the payment in FAP benefits beginning to the confirmed that Petitioner was not contesting the October 2016 benefits amount after it had been corrected to per month in FAP benefits. At issue was only the September 2016 FAP efit amount.		

In accordance with policy, the Department is required to act on a change reported by means other than a tape match within 10 days of becoming aware of the change. BAM 220 (July 2016), p. 7. The policy goes on to state the effective date of the change if it results in an increase in benefits and states as follows:

Benefit Increases: Changes which result in an increase in the household's benefits must be effective no later than the first allotment issued 10 days after the date the change was reported, pro-vided any necessary verification was returned by the due date. A supplemental issuance may be necessary in some cases. If necessary verification is **not** returned by the due date, take appropriate action based on what type of verification was requested. If verification is returned late, the increase must affect the month after verification is returned. *Id*.

Further the policy provides an example which is applicable in this case and is as follows:

Example: Rich reports on March 23rd that he now has a shelter expense. Act on the change by April 2nd. May's benefits will be the first month affected because the 10th day after the change is reported falls in the next benefit period. Affect the April issuance if the action can be completed by March 31st. *Id*.

In this case, Petitioner reported the change on	. Under policy,
Department was required to act on the change on or before	. As
such, October 2016 benefits would have been the first month affected	because the 10th
day after the change was reported fell within the next benefit period.	Accordingly, the
Department properly increased Petitioner's FAP benefits effective	and
not .	

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it increased Petitioner's FAP benefits effective instead of

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

JM/hw

Jacquelyn A. McClinton
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 Petitioner