RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: October 7, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 16-012492 Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice,

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny the Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Petitioner applied for MA benefits
- 2. On performing a performance of the periting of the periting
- 3. On ______, the Department sent the Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice (HCCDN) notifying the Petitioner that ______ was eligible for Emergency Services Only (ESO); ______ was not eligible for MA benefits; ______ was not eligible for MA benefits; ______ was not eligible for MA benefits.

- 4. On **Completing the document**, the Petitioner returned the Verification of Employment without completing the document.
- 5. On **actions with the Petitioner's MA, FIP, and FAP benefits taken by the Department.**

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, the Department sent the Respondent a negative HCCDN on which is the same date that the Department sent Petitioner a Verification of Employment Form due

The Petitioner turned in the Verification of Employment Form on **example 1**, but had not completed this form.

The Department sent the Petitioner the negative HCCDN on the same date the Department sent the Petitioner the Verification of Employment Form.

The Department's negative notice was sent on the same day that the Department requested Verification of Employment.

Although the Department's negative notice did not take effect until **example**, the Department did not provide adequate time for the Petitioner to complete the Verification of Employment Form.

The Department stated the reason for the MA denials was the failure of the Petitioner to return the Verification of employment Form sent out the same time as the negative action notice.

The Petitioner must be given adequate time to complete the Department's request for documentation/information.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department **did not** act in accordance with Department policy when it sent the Petitioner a negative HCCDN on the same day it sent the Petitioner the request to verify employment/income.

In addition, the Department reduced the Petitioner's FAP and FIP benefits because the Petitioner failed to provide the employment/income requested.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate and reprocess the Petitioner's MA, FAP, and FIP application.
- 2. Recalculate the Petitioner's eligibility for MA, FAP, and FIP and supplement for any missed benefits.

MJB/jaf

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Page 5 of 5 16-012492 <u>MJB</u>

DHHS

Petitioner

Via email

