RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: October 4, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 16-012179

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 29, 2016, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Petitioner's State Disability Assistance (SDA) application dated ?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On Petitioner submitted an application for SDA benefits.
- 2. Petitioner's application was not timely processed.
- 3. On the Department sent Petitioner documents that he was required to complete and return.
- 4. Petitioner timely returned the documents on

- 5. On _____, the Department forwarded the documents to its Medical Review Team (MRT) for processing.
- 6. On example, Petitioner requested a hearing, as he had not received a decision regarding his SDA application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Health and Human Services (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

In the present case, it is not disputed that Petitioner applied for SDA disability benefits on the control of the Department testified that as of this hearing date, his application was still pending for an MRT decision. The Department was unable to provide any additional information as to the status of the application.

In response, Petitioner disputed the Department's failure to process the application in accordance with Department policy. The Department explained that approximately one month after Petitioner submitted his application, his assigned worker retired and the application was not immediately transferred to another worker. The Department testified that as soon as it became aware of the application, a new worker was assigned and Petitioner was sent the required documents to complete. Petitioner's completed documents were forwarded to MRT on

The Department determines eligibility and benefit amounts for all requested programs. BAM 105 (July 2015), p. 17. The Department registers a signed application or filing form, with the minimum information, within one workday for all requested programs. BAM 110 (January 2016), p. 20.

The standard of promptness (SOP) begins the date the department receives an application/filing form, with minimum required information. BAM 115 (January 2016), p. 14. For SDA applications, the Department certifies the program approval or denial of the application within 45 days. BAM 115, p. 15. The Department automatically generates the client notice. BAM 115, p. 15. However, there are exceptions to these benefits programs for processing times, which are described as follows: 60 days for SDA applicants. BAM 115, p. 15. The SOP can be extended 60 days from the date of deferral by the Medical Review Team (MRT). BAM 115, p. 15.

Based on the foregoing information and evidence, the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it properly processed Petitioner's SDA application dated . Policy generally states to process an SDA application within 45 days; however, Petitioner met the policy exception that allowed the Department to process his application within 60-days for SDA applicants. See BAM 115, p. 15. Thus, initially, the Department was expected to make an eligibility determination on his application, on or (60-days from the application date). Again, though, policy states about that the SOP can be extended 60-days from the date of deferral by MRT, which means that an eligibility determination on his SDA application can go past the April 2016 initial However, the Department failed to present by a deadline. BAM 115, p. 15. preponderance of evidence that deferral occurred in this instance. It is therefore found that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it processed Petitioner's SDA application within the SOP guidelines. See BAM 115, pp. 14-15.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it properly processed Petitioner's SDA application dated

Accordingly, the Department's SDA decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Process Petitioner's SDA application dated ;
- 2. Issue supplements to Petitioner for any SDA benefits he was eligibility to receive but did not from the date of the application; and
- 3. Notify Petitioner of its decision.

JM/hw

Jacquelyn A. McClinton Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 Petitioner