RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON



Date Mailed: October 24, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 16-005308

Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG

Respondent:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16 and 45 CFR 235.110; and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 20, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG). The Respondent did not appear at the hearing; and it was held in the Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5).

ISSUES

Did the Respondent commit an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) of the Food Assistance Program (FAP) and thereby receive an Over issuance (OI) that the Department is entitled to recoup/collect?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on January 11, 2016, to establish an OI of benefits received by the Respondent as a result of the Respondent having allegedly committed an IPV.
- 2. The Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits issued by the Department.

- 3. The Respondent was issued the "How To Use Your Michigan Bridge Card" booklet at the same time as she was issued an Electronic Benefit Transfer Card. The booklet provided the Respondent with notice of the Food Assistance Program rules and consequences for breaking those rules.
- 4. There is no evidence, such as an Assistance Application, in the record to indicate whether the Respondent had an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding of the proper usage of the Respondent's EBT card or whether or not the Respondent may have an Authorized Representative for the FAP benefits.
- 5. The Department's OIG indicates that the time period it is considering the OI period is May 1, 2013 to October 31, 2013.
- 7. This was the Respondent's first alleged IPV.
- 8. A notice of hearing was mailed to the Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the US Post Office as undeliverable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10; the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b; and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Intentional Program Violation

Effective January 1, 2016, the Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for the following cases:

- FAP trafficking overissuances that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and

- The total amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs combined is \$500 or more, or
- the total amount is less than \$500, and
 - > the group has a previous IPV, or
 - the alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or
 - ➤ the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or
 - > the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee.

BAM 720 (2016), pp. 12, 13.

7 CFR 273.16(c), DEFINITION OF INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Intentional Program Violations shall consist of having intentionally:

- Made a false or misleading statement, or misrepresented, concealed or withheld facts; or
- Committed any act that constitutes a violation of the Food Stamp Act, the Food Stamp Program Regulations, or any State statute for the purpose of using, presenting, transferring, acquiring, receiving, possessing or trafficking of coupons, authorization cards or reusable documents used as part of an automated benefit delivery system (access device).

7 CFR 273.16(e)(6)

The State agency shall conduct administrative disqualifications hearings for individuals accused of Intentional Program Violation in accordance with the requirements outlined in this section:

* * *

(6) Criteria for determining Intentional Program Violation. The hearing authority shall base the determination of Intentional Program Violation on clear and convincing evidence which demonstrates that the household member(s) committed, and intended to commit, Intentional Program Violation as defined in paragraph (c) of this section.

7 CFR 271.2

Trafficking means:

 The buying, selling, stealing or otherwise affecting an exchange of SNAP benefits issued and accessed via Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) cards, card numbers and personal identification numbers (PINs), or by manual voucher in signature, for cash or consideration other than eligible food, either directly, indirectly, in complicity or collusion with others, or acting alone;

- The exchange of firearms, ammunition, explosives, or controlled substances, as defined in section 802 of title 21, United States Code, for SNAP benefits;
- Purchasing a product with SNAP benefits that has a container requiring a return deposit with the intent of obtaining cash by discarding the product and returning the container for the deposit amount, intentionally discarding the product, and intentionally returning the container for the deposit amount;
- Purchasing a product with SNAP benefits in exchange for cash or consideration other than eligible food by reselling the product, and subsequently intentionally reselling the product purchased with SNAP benefits in exchange for cash or consideration other than eligible food; or
- Intentionally purchasing products originally purchased with SNAP benefits in exchange for cash or consideration other than eligible food.
- Attempting to buy, sell, steal, or otherwise affect an exchange of SNAP benefits issued and accessed via EBT cards, card numbers and PINs, or by manual voucher and signatures, for cash or consideration other than eligible food, either directly, indirectly, in complicity or collusion with others, or acting alone.

Disqualification

A client who is found to have committed an IPV by a court or hearing decision is disqualified from receiving program benefits. BAM 720, pp. 15, 16. Clients are disqualified for ten years for a FAP IPV involving concurrent receipt of benefits, and, for all other IPV cases involving FIP, FAP or SDA, for standard disqualification periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, and lifetime for the third IPV. BAM 720, p.16. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he/she lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720, p. 16.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge has concluded that the evidence is insufficient to establish that the Respondent has committed an IPV. As such, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that no disqualification penalty is to be imposed.

Overissuance

When a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI. BAM 700, p. 1. The OI amount for trafficking-related IPVs is the value of the trafficked benefits as determined by:

- The court decision.
- The individual's admission.
- Documentation used to establish the trafficking determination, such as an affidavit from a store owner or sworn testimony from a federal or state investigator of how much a client could have reasonably trafficked in that store. This can be established through circumstantial evidence.

In this case, there is no Assistance Application in evidence which would establish whether or not the Respondent has an Authorized Representative, which in some circumstances is even required by policy, ie.) Respondents in an Adult Foster Care home or Substance Abuse Treatment Center and Respondents who have been convicted once of a felony drug charge. (See BEM 203 and BAM 110 p. 10). As such, the Department does also not meet its burden of establishing that it was the Respondent received an OI of the FAP that the Department is entitled to recoup/collect.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that the Department has not established by clear and convincing evidence that the Respondent committed an IPV. No disqualification penalty is therefore imposed and no recoupment/collection action is ordered.

Susanne E. Harris

Administrative Law Judge

for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

Susanne E Hanis

SH/nr

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

