RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: July 14, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 16-007267

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Petitioner's Medical Assistance (MA) and Medicare cost share?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On Department sent the Petitioner a Mid-Certification Contact Notice with a due date of the contact Notice with a due date of the contact Notice.
- 2. On the Department sent the Petitioner a Redetermination Form with a due date of the Department sent the Petitioner a Redetermination Form with a due date of the Department sent the Petitioner a Redetermination Form with a due date of the Department sent the Petitioner a Redetermination Form with a due date of the Department sent the Petitioner a Redetermination Form with a due date of the Department sent the Petitioner a Redetermination Form with a due date of the Department sent the Petitioner a Redetermination Form with a due date of the Department sent the Petitioner a Redetermination Form with a due date of the Department sent the Petitioner a Redetermination Form with a due date of the Department sent the Petitioner and the Department sent the Petitioner and the Department sent the Department sent the Petitioner and the Department sent the Depart
- 3. On _____, the Department sent the Petitioner a Notice of Case Action informing him that his Food Assistance Program (FAP) approving the Petitioner's FAP benefits

- 4. On Determination Notice informing the Petitioner that he was not eligible for MA or medical cost share.
- 5. On MA and medical cost share.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, the Department closed the Petitioner's MA and FAP benefits on



The FAP Issue

At the Hearing, the Department and Petitioner agreed that the Department had corrected its error in closing the Petitioner's FAP benefits.

Therefore, the FAP issue is DISMISSED.

The MA and Cost-Sharing Issues

In the instant case, the Department testified that the Petitioner had provided documentation of numerous attempts to communicate with the Department concerning the Department's requirements.

The Department suggested that the Petitioner's MA and cost-sharing MA benefits be reinstated retroactively to and supplemented for any missed benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department **did not** act in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Petitioner's MA and cost-sharing benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate the Petitioner's MA and MA cost-sharing retroactively back to and supplement for any missed benefits.

MJB/jaf

Michael J. Bennane

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139 **DHHS**

Petitioner



