



RICK SNYDER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
Christopher Seppanen  
Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON  
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: June 23, 2016  
MAHS Docket No.: 16-006938  
Agency No.: [REDACTED]  
Petitioner: [REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Darryl Johnson

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 22, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner appeared on his own behalf. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Assistance Payments Supervisor [REDACTED] and Eligibility Specialist [REDACTED].

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Petitioner's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner applied for FAP on October 26, 2015.
2. Due to a worker error, documentation was originally mailed to an incorrect address.
3. On March 11, 2016, the Department mailed a Verification Checklist (VCL) to Petitioner (Exhibit 1 Pages 1-27 to 1-30) at the corrected address. Petitioner was to provide the completed VCL along with the requested documentation by March 24, 2016.

4. Petitioner provided many of the required documents, but he did not provide verification of two accounts that his wife had. One was an account at [REDACTED], and the other was an account at [REDACTED].
5. In a Notice of Case Action (NCA) dated March 25, 2016 (Page 1-4 to 1-8), the Department denied the FAP application based upon Petitioner's failure to verify assets.
6. On May 17, 2016, the Department received a hearing request from Petitioner, protesting the denial of his FAP application.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

Per BEM 103, the Department is to:

“Send a negative action notice when:

“The client indicates refusal to provide a verification, **or**

“The time period given has elapsed and the client has **not** made a reasonable effort to provide it.”

Further guidance is found in BAM 130 (10/1/14):

BAM 130,

“The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help.

“If neither the client nor you can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If no evidence is available, use your best judgment.”


The issue is whether the Petitioner provided timely verification in response to the request. Petitioner testified that he contacted the Department before the deadline and said that he was having a problem getting the verification, but there is no documentation from either party to show when, or even if, he provided that information to the Department. The evidence from the Department indicates the necessary verifications were not received by the deadline. Petitioner has not provided any admissible evidence to counter the Department's evidence.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Petitioner's FAP application.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

DJ/mc



---

**Darryl Johnson**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

**DHHS**

[REDACTED]

**Petitioner**

[REDACTED]