RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: June 13, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 16-002082

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Petitioner's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on May 12, 2016. caregiver, power of attorney, and Authorized Hearing Representative, appeared on behalf of the Petitioner. Appeals Review Officer, represented the Department of Health and Human Services (Department). Adult Services Manager, appeared as a witness for the Department.
The hearing was originally scheduled for Petitioner's father's , request for adjournment was granted and the hearing was rescheduled for
During the hearing proceedings, the Department's Hearing Summary Packet was admitted as Exhibit A, pp. 1-42. ISSUE

Did the Department properly reduce Petitioner's Home Help Services (HHS) authorization?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner is a Medicaid beneficiary who had been authorized for HHS since . (Exhibit A, p. 14)

- 2. Petitioner has been diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, diabetes, and hypertension. (Exhibit A, pp. 11 and 31)
- 3. Petitioner's father, through an enrolled HHS agency, provides the HHS services for Petitioner. (Exhibit A, pp. 26-30; Father Testimony)
- 4. On , Petitioner's doctor completed a DHS-54A Medical Needs form certifying that Petitioner had a medical need for assistance with listed personal care activities. The circled activities were: grooming, dressing, taking medications, meal preparation, shopping, laundry, and housework. (Exhibit A,
- 5. On , an Adult Services Worker (ASW) completed a home visit for the annual redetermination. In part, the ASW documented that: Petitioner's father reported he generally comes to Petitioner's home five days per week. completes laundry once a week, and shopping twice a week; and that Petitioner reported he no longer needs assistance with dressing. (Exhibit A, p. 26)
- 6. On , an Advance Negative Action Notice was issued to Petitioner stating the HHS case would be reduced to \$474.08 effective because the provider reported he assists Petitioner five days per week, the task of dressing was removed, and the number of days per week for laundry and shopping were reduced. (Exhibit A, p. 7)
- 7. On , the ASW documented a call from Petitioner's father explaining that there was a misunderstanding as to what he reported. The ASW documented that Petitioner's father stated he has to complete meal preparation and make sure Petitioner takes his medication every day. (Exhibit A, p. 25)
- 8. On an Advance Negative Action Notice was issued to Petitioner stating the HHS case would be reduced to \$582.44 effective , because the task of dressing was removed, and the number of days per week for grooming, laundry, shopping, and housework were reduced. (Exhibit A, p. 6)
- 9. Petitioner's Request for Hearing was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System on

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program (MA) is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

CL/

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a health professional and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 101, addresses HHS payments:

Payment Services Home Help

Home help services are non-specialized personal care service activities provided under the independent living services program to persons who meet eligibility requirements.

Home help services are provided to enable individuals with functional limitation(s), resulting from a medical or physical disability or cognitive impairment to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings.

Home help services are defined as those tasks which the department is paying for through Title XIX (Medicaid) funds. These services are furnished to individuals who are **not** currently residing in a hospital, nursing facility, licensed foster care home/home for the aged, intermediate care facility (ICF) for persons with developmental disabilities or institution for mental illness.

These activities **must** be certified by a Medicaid enrolled medical professional and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies. **The medical professional does not prescribe or authorize personal care services**. Needed services are determined by the comprehensive assessment conducted by the adult services specialist.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 101, December 1, 2013, pp. 1-2 of 5

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 105, addresses HHS eligibility requirements:

Requirements

Home help eligibility requirements include **all** of the following:

- Medicaid eligibility.
- Certification of medical need.

- Need for service, based on a complete comprehensive assessment indicating a functional limitation of level 3 or greater for at least one activity of daily living (ADL).
- Appropriate Level of Care (LOC) status.

Medical Need Certification

Medical needs are certified utilizing the DHS-54A, Medical Needs, form and must be completed by a Medicaid enrolled medical professional. The medical professional must hold one of the following professional licenses:

- Physician (M.D. or D.O.).
- Physician Assistant.
- Nurse practitioner.
- Occupational therapist.
- Physical therapist.

The DHS-54A or veterans administration medical form are acceptable for individuals treated by a VA physician; see ASM 115, Adult Services Requirements.

Necessity for Service

The adult services specialist is responsible for determining the necessity and level of need for home help services based on all of the following:

- Client choice.
- A completed DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment. An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living (ADL) in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services if assessed at a level 3 or greater.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing. However, she refuses to receive assistance or her daughter agrees to assist her at no charge. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADL's if the assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater.

Note: If an individual uses adaptive equipment to assist with an ADL, and without the use of this equipment the person would require handson care, the individual must be ranked a level 3 or greater on the functional assessment. This individual would be eligible to receive home help services.

Example: Mr. Jones utilizes a transfer bench to get in and out of the bathtub, which allows him to bathe himself without the hands-on assistance of another. The adult services specialist must rank Mr. Jones a 3 or greater under the functional assessment. Mr. Jones would be eligible to receive home help services.

Assistive technology includes such items as walkers, wheelchairs, canes, reachers, lift chairs, bath benches, grab bars and hand held showers.

 Verification of the client's medical need by a Medicaid enrolled medical professional via the DHS-54A. The client is responsible for obtaining the medical certification of need; see ASM 115, Adult Services Requirements.

> Adult Services Manual (ASM) 105, April 1, 2015, pp. 1-4 of 4

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 120, addresses the adult services comprehensive assessment:

INTRODUCTION

The DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment, is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment must be completed on **all open independent living services cases**. ASCAP, the automated workload management system, provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information must be entered on the computer program.

Requirements

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.

- The assessment may also include an interview with the individual who will be providing home help services.
- A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized.
- A face-to-face assessment is required on all transfer-in cases before a payment is authorized.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
 - Use the DHS-27, Authorization to Release Information, when requesting client information from another agency.
 - Use the DHS-1555, Authorization to Release Protected Health Information, if requesting additional medical documentation..
 This form is primarily used for APS cases.
- Follow rules of confidentiality when home help cases have companion adult protective services cases; see SRM 131, Confidentiality.

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the home help services payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication.
- Meal preparation and cleanup.
- Shopping.
- Laundry.
- Light Housework.

Functional Scale

ADLs and IADLs are assessed according to the following five point scale:

- 1. Independent.
 - Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.
- 2. Verbal Assistance.
 - Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.
- 3. Some Human Assistance.
 - Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.
- 4. Much Human Assistance.
 - Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.
- 5. Dependent.
 - Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

Home help payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the level 3 ranking or greater.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

Note: If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services if assessed at a level 3 or greater.

Example: Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing. However, she refuses to receive assistance or her daughter agrees to assist her at no charge. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADL's if the assessment determines a need at a level 3 or greater.

Note: If an individual uses adaptive equipment to assist with an ADL, and without the use of this equipment the person would require handson care, the individual must be ranked a level 3 or greater on the

functional assessment. This individual would be eligible to receive home help services.

Example: Mr. Jones utilizes a transfer bench to get in and out of the bathtub, which allows him to bathe himself without the hands-on assistance of another. The adult services specialist must rank Mr. Jones a 3 or greater under the functional assessment. Mr. Jones would be eligible to receive home help services.

Assistive technology includes such items as walkers, wheelchairs, canes, reachers, lift chairs, bath benches, grab bars and hand held showers.

See ASM 121, Functional Assessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rankings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.

Time and Task

The specialist will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or greater, based on interviews with the client and provider, observation of the client's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RTS) as a **guide**. The RTS can be found in ASCAP under the Payment module, Time and Task screen. When hours exceed the RTS, a rationale **must** be provided.

An assessment of need, at a ranking of 3 or greater, does not automatically guarantee the maximum allotted time allowed by the reasonable time schedule (RTS). The specialist must assess each task according to the actual time required for its completion.

Example: A client needs assistance with cutting up food. The specialist would only pay for the time required to cut the food and not the full amount of time allotted under the RTS for eating.

IADL Maximum Allowable Hours

There are monthly maximum hour limits on all instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) except medication. The limits are as follows:

- Five hours/month for shopping
- Six hours/month for light housework
- Seven hours/month for laundry
- 25 hours/month for meal preparation

Proration of IADLs

If the client does not require the maximum allowable hours for IADLs, authorize only the amount of time needed for each task. Assessed hours for IADLs (except medications) must be prorated by **one half** in shared living arrangements where other adults reside in the home, as home help services are **only** for the benefit of the client.

Note: This does not include situations where others live in adjoined apartments/flats or in a separate home on shared property and there is no shared, common living area.

In shared living arrangements, where it can be **clearly** documented that IADLs for the eligible client are completed separately from others in the home, hours for IADLs do not need to be prorated.

Example: Client has special dietary needs and meals are prepared separately; client is incontinent of bowel and/or bladder and laundry is completed separately; client's shopping is completed separately due to special dietary needs and food is purchased from specialty stores; etc.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 120, December 1, 2013, pp. 1-6 of 7

Certain services are not covered by HHS. ASM 101 provides a listing of the services not covered by HHS.

Services not Covered by Home Help

Home help services must **not** be approved for the following:

- Supervising, monitoring, reminding, guiding, teaching or encouraging (functional assessment rank 2).
- Services provided for the benefit of others.
- Services for which a responsible relative is able and available to provide (such as house cleaning, laundry or shopping). A responsible relative is defined as an individual's spouse or a parent of an unmarried child under age 18.
- Services provided by another resource at the same time (for example, hospitalization, MI-Choice Waiver).
- Transportation See Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 825 for medical transportation policy and procedures.

- Money management such as power of attorney or representative payee.
- Home delivered meals.
- Adult or child day care.
- Recreational activities. (For example, accompanying and/or transporting to the movies, sporting events etc.)

Note: The above list is not all inclusive.

Adult Services Manual (ASM) 101, December 1, 2013, p. 5 of 5.

Petitioner is a Medicaid beneficiary who had been authorized for HHS since . (Exhibit A, p. 14) Petitioner has been diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, diabetes, and hypertension. (Exhibit A, pp. 11 and 31) Petitioner's father, through an enrolled HHS agency, provides the HHS services for Petitioner. (Exhibit A, pp. 26-30; Father Testimony) On . Petitioner's doctor completed a DHS-54A Medical Needs form certifying that Petitioner had a medical need for assistance with listed personal care activities. The circled activities were: grooming, dressing, taking medications, meal preparation, shopping, laundry, and housework. (Exhibit A, p. 11) , an ASW completed a home visit for the annual redetermination. In part, the ASW documented that: Petitioner's father reported he generally comes to Petitioner's home five days per week, completes laundry once a week, and shopping twice a week; and that Petitioner reported he no longer needs assistance with dressing. (Exhibit A, p. 26) , an Advance Negative Action Notice was issued to Petitioner On stating the HHS case would be reduced to \$474.08 effective . because the provider reported he assists Petitioner five days per week, the task of dressing was removed, and the number of days per week for laundry and shopping were reduced. (Exhibit A, p. 7) On , the ASW documented a call from Petitioner's father explaining that there was a misunderstanding as to what he reported. The ASW documented that Petitioner's father stated he has to complete meal preparation and make sure Petitioner takes his medication every day. (Exhibit A, p. 25) , an Advance Negative Action Notice was issued to Petitioner stating the HHS case would be reduced to \$582.44 effective , because

the task of dressing was removed, and the number of days per week for grooming,

laundry shopping, and housework were reduced. (Exhibit A, p. 6)

The ASW was not available to testify during the hearing proceedings because she is on extended leave. (Adult Services Manager Testimony)

Petitioner's father disagrees with the reduction and asserted there were misunderstanding and inaccuracies in the ASW's narrative note from the home visit. For example, the ASW noted that Petitioner stated he no longer needs assistance with dressing. (Exhibit A, p. 26) Petitioner's father testified that Petitioner did not say nothing to the ASW at all during the assessment, the ASW did not ask Petitioner questions, and nothing was said on that. (Father Testimony) Petitioner father also explained that there may have also been misunderstandings during the home visit because he did not have his hearing aid that day. Petitioner's father was having some difficulty hearing and understanding everything. That is why Petitioner's father called the ASW to clarify. Petitioner's father thought it was all straightened out with the ASW. but apparently it was not. Petitioner's father testified he goes to Petitioner's home seven days per week and does more than what is included in the HHS authorization. Petitioner father does assist Petitioner with dressing because he is like a child. Petitioner's father also assists with housework seven days per week. Petitioner's father also described the portion of the conversation with the ASW regarding grooming. Petitioner's father stated that when he reported shaving assistance is provided once per day the ASW remarked that was ridiculous. (Father Testimony)

The ASW was not available to contest Petitioner's father's testimony regarding what was said during the home visit and subsequent phone conversation. As noted above, it was contested that the Petitioner said anything to the ASW during the home visit, let alone that he no longer needed dressing assistance. Petitioner's father also asserted that the ASW's narrative notes are not accurate regarding what he reported about the assistance he provides for Petitioner. Further, the Department has not included a print out of the functional ranking and justification notes screen for Petitioner's case to help support the time and task determinations made in this case. Accordingly, it is unknown what functional ranking level the ASW determined for any of the ADLs or IADLs, or if any justification notes were entered that may explain the ASW's determinations of Petitioner's functional abilities and needs for assistance with these tasks. Lastly, it , phone conversation, the ASW believed that appears that from the Petitioner's father was going to Petitioner's home daily because the tasks of medication and meal preparation were authorized for seven days per week. (Exhibit A, pp. 25 and 30) Accordingly, it is unclear why the HHS authorization for grooming remained at five days per week if Petitioner's father reported that assistance with shaving is provided daily. The ASW's narrative notes from the home visit and subsequent phone call do not document any discussion about the task of grooming, but Petitioner's father provided detailed testimony about his conversation with the ASW regarding shaving. common for an adult male to shave on a daily basis. Overall, the available evidence was not sufficient to support all of the time and task authorizations for this assessment. Accordingly, the Department's determination is reversed and Petitioner's HHS case should be re-assessed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department did not properly reduce Petitioner's HHS authorization.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is **REVERSED**. The Department shall initiate re-assessing Petitioner's HHS case in accordance with Department policy, which would include issuing written notice of the new determination.

CL/cg

Colleen Lack

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Men Feel

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30763 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Agency Representative DHHS-Location Contact DHHS Department Rep. **DHHS -Dept Contact** Petitioner Authorized Hearing Rep.