RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: June 13, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 15-026393 Agency No.: Petitioner:

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

## **HEARING DECISION**

Pursuant to a September 8, 2014, federal lawsuit, the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) issued notices to Medicaid applicants who were potentially denied full Medicaid coverage based on immigration status between January 2014 and May 2015. The notice included information about how to request a hearing. Petitioner filed a request for a hearing; and accordingly, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Michigan Administrative Hearing Rules (R 792.10101 – R 792.11903) and the Administrative Procedures Act, 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.* 

After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on the provided of the petitioner's Authorized Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by the petitioner's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR). The Petitioner did not appear. The Department was represented by the petitioner did not appear.

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly determine Petitioner's immigration status or citizenship when determining Medicaid (MA) eligibility?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On Petitioner applied for MA.
- 2. On the date of MA application or redetermination, Petitioner was not a United States (U.S.) citizen.

- 3. The Petitioner indicated he had eligible immigration status in his MA application. The Petitioner has been a permanent resident of the U.S. since **Exhibit 2**.
- 4. The Department issued a Benefit Notice dated proceeding, correcting the Petitioner's health care coverage to full health care coverage beginning . Exhibits 3 and 4.
- 5. On a date unknown, the Department issued a notice to the Petitioner indicating he/she might have been denied full MA coverage based on immigration status between January 2014 and May 2015.
- 6. On Petitioner requested a timely hearing.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), Department of Health and Human Services Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) Related Eligibility Manual (MREM), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, Petitioner requested a hearing disputing his MA and the Department's determination that he was eligible for Emergency Services Only (ESO) MA services rather than full coverage MA. At the hearing, the Department introduced evidence that indicated the Petitioner had been a permanent resident of the U.S. since and that at the time of his application, he had been a permanent resident for five (5) years. Ultimately, the Department corrected the Petitioner's MA coverage to full MA when it issued a benefit notice on the service of the Petitioner's MA coverage to full MA when it issued a benefit notice on the service of the Petitioner's MA coverage to full MA when it issued a benefit notice on the service of the Petitioner's MA coverage to full MA when it issued a benefit notice on the service of the petitioner's MA coverage to full MA when it issued a benefit notice on the service of the petitioner's MA coverage to full MA when it issued a benefit notice on the service of the petitioner's MA coverage to full MA when it issued a benefit notice on the service of the petitioner's MA coverage to full MA when it issued a benefit notice on the service of the petitioner's MA to full coverage MA beginning the petitioner he was approved for full MA beginning the petitioner's MA to full coverage MA beginning the petitioner's and corrected the Petitioner's MA to full coverage MA beginning the petitioner's matched beginning the petitioner's MA beginning the petitioner's matched beginning the petitione

To be eligible for full MA coverage, a person must be a U.S. citizen or an alien admitted to the U.S. under a specific immigration status. BEM 225 (January 2014), p. 2.

An individual who is a permanent resident alien with a class code on the permanent residency card other than RE, AM or AS is eligible only for ESO MA coverage for the first five years in the U.S. unless the alien is a qualified military alien or the spouse or dependent child of a qualified military alien. BEM 225, pp. 7-8, 30; MREM, § 3.6. A qualified military alien is a qualified alien on active duty in, or veteran honorably discharged from, the U.S. Armed Forces. BEM 225, p. 5; MREM, § 3.6.

A person who does not meet an acceptable alien status, including undocumented aliens and non-immigrants who have stayed beyond the period authorized by the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, are eligible only for ESO MA coverage. BEM 225, p. 9. The alien status of each non-citizen must be verified to be eligible for full MA coverage. BEM 225, p. 2. Non-citizens receiving ESO MA do not have to verify alien status. BEM 225, p. 20. A person who is unable to obtain verification of alien status or refuses to cooperate in obtaining it is limited to ESO until verification is obtained. BEM 225, p. 20.

In this case, as the evidence demonstrated that the Petitioner was an eligible permanent resident for full MA, the Department properly corrected his case and provided full coverage MA from **Example**, the date of the application ongoing.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department <u>did</u> properly determine Petitioner's immigration status or citizenship when determining MA eligibility.

### DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's determination about MA eligibility based on immigration status is **AFFIRMED**.

LMF/jaf

tenis

**Lyńn M. Ferris** Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Page 5 of 5 15-026393 LMF

DHHS

Petitioner

Authorized Hearing Rep.

