RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: May 26, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 15-017872

Agency No.: Petitioner:

Respondent:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16 and 45 CFR 235.110; and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on the provided to Respondent did not appear. This matter having been initiated by the Department and due notice having been provided to Respondent, the hearing was held in Respondent's absence in accordance with Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 725 (July 2014), pp. 16-17.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits from the Department.
- 2. The Department alleges Respondent received an FAP OI during the period through through due to **Respondent's** error.
- 3. The Department alleges that Respondent received \$ OI that is still due and owing to the Department.

4. The Respondent requested a hearing on

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Department policy states that DHHS requests a debt collection hearing when the grantee of an inactive program requests a hearing after receiving the DHS-4358B, Agency and Client Error Information and Repayment Agreement. BAM 725 (July 2014), pp. 16-17. Active recipients are afforded their hearing rights automatically, but DHHS must request hearings when the program is inactive. BAM 725, p. 17 and see also BAM 715 (July 2014), pp. 11-12.

When a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance (OI). BAM 700, p. 1. The amount of the OI is the benefit amount the group or provider actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. BAM 715, p. 6.

A client error OI occurs when the client received more benefits than they were entitled to because the client provider gave incorrect or incomplete information to the department. BAM 715, p. 1.

Additionally, in this case, the Department seeks to recoup FAP benefits issued to the Respondent which it alleges the Respondent was not entitled to receive due to Respondent having two prior felony convictions. BEM 203 (October 1, 2015)

BEM 203 provides: An individual convicted of a felony for the use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances two or more times in separate periods will be permanently disqualified if both offenses occurred after the controlled. BEM 203, p. 2.

At the hearing, the Department did not provide a record of the felony convictions it alleged caused the Respondent's disqualification from receiving FAP.

The Department has the burden of proof to demonstrate the amount of the overissuance and the basis for the overissuance itself at the hearing. The only information regarding the alleged two prior felony convictions consisted of an OIG MIGS

Report, which merely re-capitulated facts which were not supported by any conviction record. Therefore, the Department did not meet its burden of proof in this case to establish the basis for the OI.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department **did not** establish an FAP benefit OI to Respondent totaling \$ and its request to proceed with debt collection is hereby denied.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department is **REVERSED.**

The Department is **ORDERED** to delete the OI for the period through in the amount of due to two prior felony convictions alleged to have been committed by the Respondent and cease any recoupment/collection action.

LMF/jaf

Lyńń M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS

Respondent



