RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

SHELLY EDGERTON DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: May 4, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 15-014948

Agency No.: Petitioner: OIG

Respondent:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl Johnson

HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16 and 45 CFR 235.110; and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 3, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by Regulation Agent of the Office of Inspector General (OIG). Respondent did not appear at the hearing; and it was held in Respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Code R 400.3130(5), or Mich Admin Code R 400.3178(5).

ISSUES

- 1. Did Respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Adult Home Health (AHH) benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?
- 2. Did the Department establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on August 10, 2016, to establish an OI of benefits received by Respondent as a result of Respondent having allegedly committed an IPV.

- 2. The OIG has requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving program benefits.
- 3. Respondent was a recipient of FAP benefits issued by the Department.
- 4. Respondent was aware of the responsibility to accurately report her marital status and identify her spouse.
- 5. Respondent did not have an apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement.
- 6. The Department's OIG indicates that the time period it is considering the fraud period is August 7, 2007 through July 31, 2013 (fraud period).
- 7. During the fraud period, Respondent was issued \$ in AHH benefits by the State of Michigan, and the Department alleges that Respondent was entitled to \$ in such benefits during this time period.
- 8. The Department alleges that Respondent received an OI in AHH benefits in the amount of \$ ______.
- 9. This was Respondent's first alleged IPV.
- 10. A notice of hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the US Post Office as undeliverable.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Adult Services Manual (ASM), and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Services Program (ASP), which provides for Adult Home Health (AHH) benefits, is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1346 *et seq*, 42 CFR 440.170(f), the Social Welfare Act, and MCL 400.14(1)(p). The Department of Human Service (formerly known as the Department of Human Services), along with the Michigan Department of Community Health (DCH), administers independent living services (home help) for personal care services pursuant to the Medicaid State Plan.

Effective October 1, 2014, the Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for the following cases:

 Willful overpayments of \$500.00 or more under the AHH program.

- FAP trafficking overissuances that are not forwarded to the prosecutor.
- Prosecution of welfare fraud or FAP trafficking is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and
 - The total amount for the FIP, SDA, CDC, MA and FAP programs combined is \$500 or more, or
 - the total amount is less than \$500, and
 - > the group has a previous IPV, or
 - the alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or
 - the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance (see BEM 222), or
 - the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee.

BAM 720 (1/1/16), p. 12; ASM 165 (5/1/13), p. 1.

Intentional Program Violation

Suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

- The client intentionally failed to report information or intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and
- The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, and
- The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill reporting responsibilities.

BAM 700 (1/1/16), p. 6; BAM 720, p. 1.

An IPV is also suspected for a client who is alleged to have trafficked FAP benefits. BAM 720, p. 1.

An IPV requires that the Department establish by clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the **purpose** of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720, p. 1 (emphasis in original); see also 7 CFR 273(e)(6). Clear and

convincing evidence is evidence sufficient to result in a clear and firm belief that the proposition is true. See M Civ JI 8.01.

In this case, Respondent applied for AHH and identified as her home health provider. She did not identify him as her husband, even though they were married on (Exhibit 1 Pages 36 and 41). In a Statement of Employment dated August 24, 2007 (Exhibit 1 Page 13), which she and he both signed, she said that he was a "friend." provided home care for her for years, without her ever telling the Department that they were married. In an application submitted for benefits (Exhibit 1 Page 48) dated May 15, 2014, he listed his marital status as "separated" (p. 50) but gave his home address as the same address as Respondent (p. 49). In a Redetermination he submitted in March 2015 (pp. 74 et seq) he listed the same address again. He has a motor vehicle and driver's license registered at the same address.

Current policy found in ASM 135 (12/1/13) p. 1 states that "home help services **cannot** be paid to a spouse caring for a spouse or a parent caring for an unmarried child under 18 (responsible relative)." (Emphasis in original.) It also notes that "couples who are separated must provide verification that they are no longer residing in the same home. . . . A spouse who is legally separated from a spouse **cannot** be paid to provide home help." (Emphasis in original.)

Under prior policy, AHH services were not available if there was a "responsible relative or legal dependent of the client" available to perform the tasks the client does not perform. ASM 363 (6/1/07) p. 5. A "responsible relative" was defined as a person's spouse, or the parent of an unmarried child under age 18. ASG Glossary (6/1/07) p. 5.

The Department has presented clear and convincing evidence that Respondent falsely identified her husband as a friend for the purpose of obtaining services, paid for by the Department, which would not have been paid for if she had truthfully identified him as her husband. He was responsible, as her spouse, for providing the services without pay. Respondent has committed an IPV.

Disqualification

A client who is found to have committed an IPV by a court or hearing decision is disqualified from receiving certain program benefits. BAM 720, p. 15. Clients are disqualified for ten years for a FAP IPV involving concurrent receipt of benefits, and, for all other IPV cases involving FIP, FAP or SDA, for standard disqualification periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, and lifetime for the third IPV. BAM 720, p. 16. CDC clients who intentionally violate CDC program rules are disqualified for six months for the first occurrence, twelve months for the second occurrence, and lifetime for the third occurrence. BEM 708, p. 1. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he/she lives with them, and other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720, p. 15.

In this case, there is no disqualification period for IPVs in the AHH program. Consequently, no disqualification will be imposed.

Overissuance

When a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI. BAM 700, p. 1.

In this case, the Department has presented evidence that Respondent's husband was paid over several years for home health services that he provided to her. Lists of payments are found in Exhibit 1 Pages 42-46. Those payments total \$ and that amount is to be recouped.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, concludes that:

- 1. The Department has established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent committed an IPV.
- 2. Respondent received an OI of program benefits in the amount of \$ from the AHH program.

DJ/mc

Darryl Johnson

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

