RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

MIKE ZIMMER DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: April 21, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 15-012779

Petitioner: Recoupment Specialist

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16 and 45 CFR 235.110; and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 14, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by Recoupment Specialist

ISSUE

Did Respondent receive an overissuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Department submitted Exhibit A, pages 1-62 with no objection. (Dept. Exh. A, pp 1-62).
- 2. Respondent submitted her Redetermination on March 4, 2011 and was a recipient of FAP benefits from the Department at all times pertinent to this hearing. (Dept. Exh. A, pp 47-50).
- 3. The Department alleges Respondent received a FAP overissuance during the period of April 1, 2010, through March 31, 2011, due to Department's error of failing to budget Respondent's earned income. (Hearing Summary).

Page 2 of 4 15-012779 <u>VLA</u>/db overissuance that

4. The Department alleges that Respondent received a **second over** is still due and owing to the Department. (Dept. Exh. A, pp 6-8)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Departmental policy, BAM 700, Benefit Overissuances, states that when a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. BAM 700, p 1 (1/1/2016). Repayment of an overissuance is the responsibility of anyone who was an eligible, disqualified, or other adult in the program group at the time the overissuance occurred. BAM 725, p 1 (10/1/2015)(emphasis added). Bridges will collect from all adults who were a member of the case. *Id.* (emphasis added).

An agency error is caused by incorrect action (including delayed or no action) by the Department staff or Department processes. BAM 700, p 4 (1/1/2016). Agency errors will be assigned to the client depending on the type of agency error that occurred. *Id.* at 6.

In this case, Respondent properly reported her income on the Redetermination. The Department representative testified that the Department failed to budget Respondent's reported income.

Respondent testified that she reported being married to the Department on June 10, 2010. When asked if her FAP increased as a result of reporting her marriage, she stated there was no change. The Department representative testified that the first record the Department has of Respondent's marriage was on March 4, 2011, when Respondent reported the marriage on the Redetermination. Respondent was not contesting the agency error as such, but believed her husband should share the burden in repaying the debt. However, at the time Respondent reported her marriage, Respondent also reported that her husband was not living in her home. Because Respondent's husband was not on the case at the time of the overissuance, Respondent's husband is not responsible for the overissuance. BAM 725, p 1 (10/1/2015).

Therefore, in accord with Department policy, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance regardless of fault. BAM 700, p 6 (1/1/2016). Because the Department failed to properly budget Respondent's earned income, Respondent received an overissuance of during the fraud period of April 1, 2010 through March 31, 2011.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did establish a FAP benefit overissuance to Respondent totaling

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures for a **method** overissuance in accordance with Department policy.

Juchi Z. (

VLA/db

Vicki Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Page 3 of 4 15-012779 VLA/db

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Page 4 of 4 15-012779 <u>VLA</u>/db

DHHS

Respondent

