RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

MIKE ZIMMER



Date Mailed: April 4, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 16-002708

Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on March 30, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Petitioner included and (Hearing Facilitator) represented the Department of Health and Human Services (Department).

ISSUE

Did the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) properly deny the Petitioner's Child Development and Care (CDC) application?

Did the Department properly process the Petitioner's State Emergency Relief (SER) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Department received the Petitioner's application for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits.
- 2. The Department verified the Petitioner's employment through the Work Number database.
- On February 5, 2016, the Department received the Petitioner's application for State Emergency Relief (SER) benefits where she requested assistance with non-heat electricity, natural gas for hearing, and a water bill.

- On February 8, 2016, the Department notified the Petitioner that it had denied her Child Development and Care (CDC) application for failure to establish a verified need for benefits.
- 5. On February 12, 2016, the Department notified the Petitioner that it had approved her State Emergency Relief (SER) application but failed to address her need for assistance with her water bill.
- 6. On February 29, 2016, the Department received the Petitioner's request for a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

The Petitioner requested a hearing protesting her FAP benefits but withdrew her request for a hearing with respect to FAP benefits only because her dispute has been resolved.

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001-.7049.

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may grant a hearing for any of the following:

- Denial of an application and/or supplemental payments.
- Reduction in the amount of program benefits or service.
- Suspension or termination of program benefits or service.

- Restrictions under which benefits or services are provided.
- Delay of any action beyond standards of promptness.
- For FAP only, the current level of benefits or denial of expedited service.
 Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (October 1, 2015), pp 3-4.

The SER standard of promptness is 10 calendar days, beginning with the date the signed SER application is received in the local office. Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM) 103 (October 1, 2015), p 6.

On February 5, 2016, the Department received the Petitioner's application for SER benefits. The Petitioner had requested assistance with past due bills for non-heat electricity, natural gas for heat, and water/sewer service. The Department failed to approve or deny the Petitioner's request for assistance with her water/sewer bill within the standard of promptness.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

At application, eligibility for CDC benefits requires that each parent must have a verified need for CDC benefits. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 703 (January 1, 2016), p 1.

A valid need for CDC benefits includes employment. Id, p 4.

In this case, the Department received the Petitioner's application for CDC benefits on January 5, 2016. The Petitioner requested CDC benefits and claimed a need for these benefits due to employment. The Department verified the Petitioner's employment through the Work Number electronic database. On February 8, 2016, the Department notified the Petitioner that it had denied her CDC application for failure to verify a valid need for CDC benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied the Petitioner's Child Development and Care (CDC) application for failure to verify a valid need for CDC benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a determination of the Petitioner's eligibility for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits as of December 27, 2015.
- 2. Initiate a determination of the Petitioner's eligibility for State Emergency Relief (SER) benefits based on her February 5, 2016, application.
- 3. Provide the Petitioner with written notice describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 4. Issue the Petitioner any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

KS/las

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director

Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

