



RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
Christopher Seppanen
Executive Director

MIKE ZIMMER
DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: March 31, 2016
MAHS Docket No.: 16-000857
Agency No.: [REDACTED]
Petitioner: Ikeya Carter

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 10, 2016, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner appeared pro se. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by [REDACTED], Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate Petitioner's Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment and Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Petitioner was a FAP and MA recipient.
2. On February 1, 2016, retroactive to December 1, 2015, Petitioner's FAP benefits were lowered due to excess income; Petitioner's MA case was closed.
3. Petitioner was notified on via a notice of case action sent on January 14, 2016.
4. The Department failed to provide sufficient evidence showing how the FAP benefits were calculated.
5. Petitioner's gross income was in excess of [REDACTED] of poverty.

6. On January 22, 2016, Petitioner/Petitioner's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In the current case, the Department has failed to submit a full FAP budget for the time period in question, thus making it impossible to make a determination as to whether Petitioner's FAP benefits were correctly calculated. Therefore, the Department has failed to meet its burden of proof in showing that Petitioner's FAP budgets were processed correctly.

With regard to Petitioner's MA eligibility determination, the Department submitted paychecks (Department Exhibit 3), showing Petitioner's adjusted gross income at [REDACTED] per month. This amount exceeds [REDACTED] of the Federal Poverty Limit, which is the absolute limit for which one can receive Healthy Michigan Plan benefits. BEM 500. Per Department testimony, Petitioner was evaluated for other MA programs and found ineligible.

Therefore, as Petitioner's adjusted gross income exceeds the income limit for the Healthy Michigan Plan MA program, and as Petitioner is ineligible for other MA programs, the undersigned finds that the Department correctly determined that Petitioner was ineligible for MA benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department failed

to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Petitioner's FAP benefits. The Department satisfied its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it terminated Petitioner's MA benefits.


DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED** in part, with respect to FAP benefits, and **AFFIRMED** in part, with respect to MA benefits.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Recalculate Petitioner's FAP allotment retroactive to the date of negative action, December 1, 2015.

RC/tm



Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

DHHS

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]