RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

MIKE ZIMMER DIRECTOR



Date Mailed: March 16, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 16-000422 Agency No.: Petitioner:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jacquelyn A. McClinton

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 9, 2016, from Detroit, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by Petitioner. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly notify Petitioner of the reduction of the family's FIP benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Petitioner is an ongoing FIP recipient.
- 2. Petitioner's daughter received SSI benefits until May 2015.
- Petitioner became eligible for RSDI and SSI following the closure of her daughter's SSI benefits.
- 4. Petitioner was removed from the family group size and the family's FIP benefits were reduced effective June 2015.

- 5. The Department failed to provide Petitioner proper notice of the reduction in benefits.
- 6. On performance, Petitioner filed a Request for hearing, disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

Additionally, a negative action, as defined by the Department, is a MDHHS action to deny an application or to reduce, suspend or terminate a benefit. This includes an increase in a post-eligibility patient-pay amount for MA or an increase in the client pay for a special living arrangement See BAM 220 pg. 1 (October 2015). A notice of case action must specify the following:

- The action(s) being taken by the department.
- The reason(s) for the action.
- The specific manual item which cites the legal base for an action or the regulation or law itself.
- An explanation of the right to request a hearing.
- The conditions under which benefits are continued if a hearing is requested. See BAM 220 pg. 2 (October 2015).

In this case, Petitioner's daughter was receiving SSI. As a result, the family's group size was three. However, Petitioner's daughter's SSI grant ended in May 2015. Petitioner then began receiving RSDI and SSI. As a result, the Department removed Petitioner from the family group size in accordance with its policy. Petitioner testified that she was not notified of the change. Petitioner indicated that she only became aware of the change when she contacted an automated number for information relating to her benefits.

The Department testified that the system did not generate the appropriate Notice of Case Action. Department policy requires that a notice must be generated manually in those situations in which Bridges is not able to generate a notice... BAM 220 pg. 19 (October 2015). The Department failed to manually generate a Notice of Case Action and in doing so also failed to properly notify Petitioner of the reduction in benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it reduced Petitioner's FIP benefits effective June 2015 without proper notice.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits effective **constant** to the amount she was receiving prior to the closure;
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant for FIP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not receive from **Contraction**, ongoing; and
- 3. Notify Claimant in writing.

JM/hw

Jacquelyn A. McClinton Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

Page 5 of 5 16-000422 <u>JM</u>/hw

DHHS



Petitioner