RICK SNYDER GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM Christopher Seppanen Executive Director

MIKE ZIMMER



Date Mailed: March 11, 2016 MAHS Docket No.: 15-024588

Agency No.:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 7, 2016, from Detroit, Michigan. Petitioner appeared and represented herself. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Eligibility Specialist.

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly process Petitioner's March 25, 2015 application for State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On March 27, 2015, Petitioner applied for SDA application.
- 2. On December 4, 2015, the Department sent Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice denying her eligibility for disability-based Medicaid (MA-P) program.
- 3. On December 14, 2015, the Department received Petitioner's written request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Health and Human Services (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

An SDA application must be processed and certified within 60 days of the date it is submitted. BAM 115 (July 2015), pp. 15-16. The Medical Review Team (MRT) can extend the standard of promptness 60 days from the date of deferral. BAM 115, p. 16. Once an eligibility certification is made, the Department must notify the client in writing of any positive or negative actions by generating a notice of case action that advises the client of the action taken by the Department, the reason for the action, the specific manual item which cites the legal base for an action or the regulation or law itself, an explanation of the right to request a hearing, and the conditions under which benefits are continued if a hearing is requested. BAM 220 (July 2015), p. 2.

In this case, the Department acknowledged that Petitioner submitted an application for SDA benefits on March 25, 2015. The Department explained that MRT had reviewed Petitioner's medical evidence for determination of whether she was eligible for disability-based Medicaid rather than SDA and concluded that Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the MA-P program (Exhibit A, pp. 5-8). The Department testified that, based on MRT's decision, it sent Petitioner a December 4, 2015 Health Care Coverage Determination Notice notifying her that her application was denied for MA-P benefits based on MRT's finding that she was not disabled. After the Department received Petitioner's hearing request, it realized that MRT's decision did not concern Petitioner's eligibility for SDA and forwarded the medical packet, along with a new medical-social eligibility certification, back to MRT for consideration of Petitioner's eligibility for SDA (Exhibit B). The Department testified that, as of the hearing date, no response had been received from MRT.

As of the March 7, 2016 hearing date, 348 days have lapsed since Petitioner submitted her March 25, 2015 SDA application without a written notice of case action concerning the Department's certification of the application, well in excess of the standard of promptness for processing an SDA application.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not

act in accordance with Department policy in processing Petitioner's March 25, 2015 SDA application.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Complete processing Petitioner's March 25, 2015 SDA application;
- 2. Issue supplements to Petitioner for SDA benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from the date of application; and
- 3. Notify Petitioner in writing of its decision.

ACE/tlf

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

100

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

| DHHS       |  |  |
|------------|--|--|
|            |  |  |
|            |  |  |
| Petitioner |  |  |
|            |  |  |
|            |  |  |
|            |  |  |
|            |  |  |
| cc:        |  |  |
|            |  |  |
|            |  |  |