STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.: 15-023293 Issue No.: 4009

Agency Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 18, 2016
County: CHARLEVOIX

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

Following the Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 18, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner, appeared and testified as did his mother, appeared by Hearing Coordinator,

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Petitioner was not disabled for purpose of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Sometime in 2010, the Petitioner was approved for SDA.
- 2. On a December 2015 review, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the Petitioner's request.
- 3. On December 16, 2015, the Petitioner submitted to the Department a request for hearing.
- 4. The Petitioner is years old.
- 5. The Petitioner completed schooling up through high school
- 6. The Petitioner has employment experience and last worked as a construction laborer.

- 7. The Petitioner's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.
- 8. The Petitioner suffers from left temporal epilepsy, seizures, irregular heartbeat, learning disability, anxiety, depression, shortened memory, lower back pain, left shoulder pain and shaky hands. The Petitioner cannot read and write and cannot do basic math.
- 9. The Petitioner has significant limitations with on physical activities involving sitting, standing, walking, bending, lifting, and squatting. The Petitioner also has significant limitations with understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions; use of judgment; responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program purusant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

The law defines disability as the inability to do substantial gainful activity (SGA) by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. (20 CFR 416.905).

Once an individual has been determined to be "disabled" for purposes of disability benefits, continued entitlement to benefits must be periodically reviewed. In evaluating whether an individual 's disability continues, 20 CFR 416.994 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activities, severity of impairment(s), and the possibility of medical improvement and its relationship to the individual's ability to work are assessed. Review may cease and benefits may be continued at any point if there is a substantial evidence to find that the individual is unable to engage in substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5).

The first step to be considered is whether the Petitioner can perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA) defined in 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Petitioner is not working. Therefore, the Petitioner is not disqualified at this step in the evaluation.

In the second step, the trier of fact must determine if the Petitioner's impairment (or combination of impairments) meets or equals the severity of an impairment listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that The Petitioner's medical record does not support a finding that the Petitioner's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, the sequential evaluation process must continue.

In the third step of the sequential evaluation, the trier of fact must determine whether there has been medical improvement as defined in 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(i). 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(iii). Medical improvement is any decrease in the medical severity of your impairment(s) which was present at the time of the most recent favorable medical decision that you were disabled or continued to be disabled. A determination that there has been a decrease in medical severity must be based on changes (improvement) in the symptoms, signs and/or laboratory findings associated with your impairment(s) (see §416.928). If there has been medical improvement as shown by a decrease in medical severity, the trier of fact must proceed to Step 4 (which examines whether the medical improvement is related to the Petitioner's ability to do work). If there has been no decrease in medical severity and, thus, no medical improvement, the trier of fact moves to Step 5 in the sequential evaluation process.

In this case, the Petitioner was most recently approved for SDA 2010. The Administrative Law Judge, after reviewing the medical documentation contained in the file, finds there is no medical improvement. Indeed, the testimony during the hearing indicates that the Petitioner's condition has been declining.

In the fifth step of the sequential evaluation, the trier of fact must consider whether any of the exceptions in 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3) and (b)(4) applies. If none of them applies, the Petitioner's disability must be found to continue. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(v).

The first group of exceptions to medical improvement (i.e., when disability can be found to have ended even though medical improvement has not occurred), found in 20 CFR 416.994(b)(3), is as follows:

- Substantial evidence shows that you are the beneficiary of advances in medical or vocational therapy or technology (related to your ability to work).
- Substantial evidence shows that you have undergone vocational therapy (related to your ability to work).
- Substantial evidence shows that based on new or improved diagnostic or evaluative techniques your impairment(s) is not as disabling as it was considered to be at the time of the most recent favorable decision.
- Substantial evidence demonstrates that any prior disability decision was in error.

In examining the record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that there is nothing to suggest that any of the exceptions listed above applies to the Petitioner's case.

The second group of exceptions to medical improvement, found at 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4), is as follows:

- A prior determination or decision was fraudulently obtained.
- You did not cooperate with us.
- The Petitioner cannot be found.
- The Petitioner failed to follow prescribed treatment which would be expected to restore your ability to engage in substantial gainful activity.

After careful review of the record, this Administrative Law Judge finds none of the above-mentioned exceptions applies to the Petitioner's case. Accordingly, per 20 CFR 416.994, this Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Petitioner's disability for purposes of State Disability Assistance must continue.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Petitioner continues to be medically disabled.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby **REVERSED** and the Department is ORDERED to maintain the Petitioner's eligibility for SDA if otherwise eligible for program benefits. A review of this case shall be set for February, 2017.

Susanne E. Harris
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and
Human Services

Susanne E Harris

Date Mailed: 2/22/2016

SEH/nr

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

