STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.: 15-017621 Issue No.: 4009 Agency Case No.: Hearing Date: December County: GENESEE

4009 December 10, 2015 GENESEE (CLIO RD)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Following the Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 10, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner, **Methods**, appeared and testified. The Department was represented by Hearing Facilitator, **Methods**. After the hearing, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Interim Order Extending the Record until January 9, 2016. On January 12, 2016, at the request of the Petitioner, the record was again extended until February 11, 2016. The Petitioner's additional, medical evidence was received on February 9, 2016, at which time the record closed.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that the Petitioner was not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 23, 2015, the Petitioner applied for SDA.
- 2. On June 26, 2015, the Medical Review Team denied the Petitioner's request.
- 3. On September 23, 2015, the Petitioner submitted to the Department a request for hearing.
- 4. The Petitioner is years old.

- 5. The Petitioner completed education through high school and two years of college.
- 6. The Petitioner has employment experience (last worked 2011) as a cashier at a grocery store.
- 7. The Petitioner suffers from depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, refractory migraines and anxiety.
- 8. The Petitioner's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.
- 9. The Petitioner has significant limitations on understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions; use of judgment; responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

MA is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers MA pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under MA. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work

experience are reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work). 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1, 12.00(C).

The Petitioner testified to the following symptoms and abilities: problems sleeping, fears being around men, anxiety and panic attacks, severe nightmares, sensitivity to sounds and light, daily migraines, problems focusing, poor memory and concentration and visions of men hurting her. No problems with walking, sitting or standing and lifting. The Petitioner's daily activities of living are dramatically impacted by her psychological condition. Her psychiatric records demonstrate an ongoing struggle with daily functioning. Her treating psychiatrist assigned to the Petitioner a GAF score of 45, up from last year's score of 40. The Petitioner has been compliant with medications and her condition still hinders her ability to function. The Petitioner is markedly limited in the ability to complete a normal workday and work week without interruption from psychologically-based symptoms and to perform at a consistent pace without an unreasonable number and length of the rest periods.

In this case, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Petitioner may be considered presently disabled at the third step. The Petitioner appears to meet listing 12.04 or its equivalent. This Administrative Law Judge will not continue through the remaining steps of the assessment. The Petitioner's testimony and the medical documentation support the finding that the Petitioner meets the requirements of a listing.

Therefore, the Petitioner is found to be disabled.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Petitioner is medically disabled as of February, 2015.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby **REVERSED** and the Department is ORDERED to initiate a review of the application dated February 23, 2015, if not done previously, to determine the Petitioner's non-medical eligibility. The Department shall inform the Petitioner of the determination in writing. A review of this case shall be set for February, 2017.

Susanne E Hanis

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Date Mailed: 2/16/2016

SEH/nr

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

