STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.: 15-022915 Issue No.: 1011, 3011

Agency Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 27, 2016 County: GENESEE 6

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10 After due notice, telephone hearing was held on January 27, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included (Hearing Facilitator) represented the Department of Health and Human Services (Department). Witnesses on behalf of the Department included (Office of Child Support).

ISSUE

Did the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) properly sanction the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits for noncooperation with the Office of Child Support?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is an ongoing Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient.
- On July 16, 2015, the Department requested that the Claimant provide information necessary to identify and locate the absent parent of her child for the purpose of securing child support.
- 3. On September 10, 2015, the Department notified the Claimant that it found her to be noncooperative with the Office of Child Support.
- 4. On November 13, 2015, the Department notified the Claimant that it would close her Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits and reduce her Food Assistance

- Program (FAP) benefits due to her noncooperation with the Office of Child Support.
- 5. On December 4, 2015, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing protesting the sanction on her Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

Families are strengthened when children's needs are met. Parents have a responsibility to meet their children's needs by providing support and/or cooperating with the department, including the Office of Child Support (OCS), the Friend of the Court (FOC) and the prosecuting attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. The custodial parent or alternative caretaker of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. Failure to cooperate without good cause results in disqualification. Disqualification includes member removal, as well as denial or closure of program benefits. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 255 (April 1, 2015), pp 1-2.

The Claimant is an ongoing FIP and FAP benefits. On July 16, 2015, the Department requested that the Claimant provide information necessary to identify and locate the absent parent of her child. The Claimant subjected herself to interviews with the Office of Child Support but failed to provide sufficient information about the absent parent. The representative of the Office of Child Support testified that the information provided about the absent parent was minimal and additional information was needed. The Office of Child Support representative testified that some of the information provided by

the Claimant contradicted information given in previous interviews, including the Claimant's last contact with the absent father.

The Claimant testified that she does not know the identity of the absent father and that she had provided the Department with all the information she can recall.

The Office of Child Support representative testified that during an initial interview, the Claimant reported that she has not had any contact with the absent father since her child was conceived.

The Claimant does not dispute that the absent father was allowed to see her child shortly after birth.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the evidence on the record supports a finding that the Claimant has additional information about the absent father that she is unwilling to provide to the Department. Therefore, the Department was acting in according with its policies when it determined that the Claimant was noncooperative with the Office of Child Support.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits and reduced her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to a child support noncooperation sanction.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

Date Mailed: 1/29/2016

KS/nr

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

