## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.:15-020890Issue No.:2001Agency Case No.:Image: Case No.:Hearing Date:January 06, 2016County:LAKE

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following the Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on January 6, 2016, from Baldwin, Michigan. The Petitioner appeared and testified. The Department of Health and Human Services (Department) was represented by Eligibility Specialist, and Family Independence Manager, and the service of the s

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly denied the Petitioner's application for Medical Assistance (MA) due to excess assets?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On April 6, 2015, the Petitioner applied for MA.
- 2. On June 17, 2015, the Department received verification of the Petitioner's assets. The Petitioner possesses a 44 acre piece of property with a SEV of \$
- 3. On June 24, 2015, the Department sent the Petitioner a Health Care Coverage Determination Notice informing the Petitioner that his application for MA was denied due to excess assets.
- 4. On August 27, 2015, the Department received the Petitioner's written request for hearing protesting the Department's determination.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

In this case, the Petitioner testified repeatedly that his property is not worth what the Department documents indicate. The Petitioner offered no documentary evidence to support his testimony. The Petitioner did testify that he did not want to sell the property and that he feels the government should put in a road and perhaps build him a house there.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 400 (2015), p. 1, provides that assets must be considered in determining eligibility for, G2U, G2C, RMA, SSI-related MA categories, and FAP. Assets mean cash, any other personal property and real property. Real property is land and objects affixed to the land such as buildings, trees and fences. Condominiums are real property. Personal property is any item subject to ownership that is not real property (examples: currency, savings accounts and vehicles).

BEM 400, p. 6, 7, sets the asset limit for MA-G2C at **\$** and provides that asset eligibility exists when the asset group's countable assets are less than, or equal to, the applicable asset limit at least one day during the month being tested. In this case, the evidence clearly indicates that the Petitioner's real property is valued much in excess of the asset limit set by Department policy. As such, the Department meets its burden of proving that the denial of the Petitioner's MA application is in accordance with departmental policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it took action to deny the Petitioner's application for MA.

# DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Ausanne E Hanis

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Date Mailed: 1/8/2016

SEH/nr

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

