#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.:15-020861Issue No.:3002Agency Case No.:Image: Case No.:Hearing Date:January 06, 2016County:KENT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

## HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10 After due notice, telephone hearing was held on January 06, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included **Constant and Methods** (Assistance Payments Supervisor) represented the Department of Health and Human Services (Department). Witnesses on behalf of the Department included **Constant** (Eligibility Specialist).

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) properly closed the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient.
- 2. On September 24, 2015, the Department sent the Clamant a New Hire Client Notice (DHS-4635) with a due date of October 5, 2015.
- 3. On October 26, 2015, the Department notified the Claimant that it would close her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits effective December 1, 2015.
- 4. On November 2, 2015, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing protesting the closure of her F.A. benefits.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility and this includes the completion of necessary forms. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105 (July 1, 2015), p 8.

Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is required by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. The Department uses documents, collateral contacts, or home calls to verify information. A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization, or agency to verify information from the client. When documentation is not available, or clarification is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (July 1, 2015), pp 1-9.

The Claimant was an ongoing FAP recipient when the Department received information suggesting that the Claimant had started new employment. On September 24, 2015, the Department sent the Claimant a New Hire Client Notice (DHS-4635) with a due date of October 5, 2015. On October 26, 2015, the Department had not received this form back, and it notified the Claimant that it would close her FAP benefits as of December 1, 2015.

The Claimant does not dispute that she was hired by a temp agency, but testified that when she did not actual perform any work or receive any earned income that she was unsure what to do with the New Hire Client Notice (DHS-4635).

The Claimant had a duty to respond to all requests from the Department for information necessary to accurately determine her eligibility for continuing benefits. Although the Department had a duty to assist the Claimant with the gathering of this information, the evidence on the record does not support a finding that the Clamant was able to contact her worker with her questions and the Department had no way of knowing that she did not know what to do with the form.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) for failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits.

# DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Kevin Sc

Kevin**/Sc**ully Administrative Law Judge for Nick Lyon, Director Department of Health and Human Services

Date Mailed: 1/8/2016

KS/nr

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS <u>MAY</u> order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS <u>MAY</u> grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

