STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



MAHS Reg. No.: 15-019276

Issue No.: 4007

Agency Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 19, 2016
County: GENESEE (CLIO RD)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary Heisler

HEARING DECISION

Following Petitioner's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10; and Mich Admin Code, R 792.11002. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 19, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Petitioner was represented by herself. The Department was represented by Hearing Facilitator

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's May 16, 2015, State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On May 16, 2015, Claimant submitted an application for State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits.
- 2. On August 26, 2015, the Office of Disability Determination Services (DDS) deferred an eligibility determination in order to obtain additional medical evidence.
- On September 1, 2015, Claimant was sent two separate Medical Appointment Confirmation Notices (DHS-800), for two separate examinations. The notices were sent to Claimant's address of record.
- On October 1, 2015, Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which stated his State Disability Assistance (SDA) application was denied for failing to attend one of the examinations. The Notice was sent to Claimant's address of record.

5. On October 6, 2015, Claimant submitted a hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Health and Human Services (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the SDA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

In this case, Claimant attended one of the two scheduled appointments. Claimant asserts that she did not receive notice of either appointment. The Medical Appointment Confirmation Notices (DHS-800) were sent to Claimant's address of record with the Department. Claimant received the Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) sent to that same address and submitted part of the notice as her hearing request. The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. *Stacey v Sankovich*, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); *Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). No evidence has been submitted which rebuts that presumption.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's May 16, 2015, State Disability Assistance (SDA) application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Gary Heisler
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Interim Director

May J. Hunk

Department of Human Services

Date Mailed: 1/26/2016

GH/nr

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS may order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS may grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

