

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

MAHS Reg. No.: 15-018116  
Issue No.: 2004  
Agency Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: December 02, 2015  
County: ROSCOMMON

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Kevin Scully

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on December 02, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] represented the Department of Health and Human Services (Department). Witnesses on behalf of the Department included Margaret Smith.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) properly process the Claimant's request to close Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant's children are ongoing recipients of Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.
2. The Claimant requested that the Department close Medical Assistance (MA) benefits because they are no longer necessary.
3. On July 31, 2015, the Department notified the Claimant that it would not close Medical Assistance (MA) benefits for his children.
4. On September 18, 2015, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing protesting the Department's failure to process his request to close Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may grant a hearing for any of the following:

- Denial of an application and/or supplemental payments.
- Reduction in the amount of program benefits or service.
- Suspension or termination of program benefits or service.
- Restrictions under which benefits or services are provided.
- Delay of any action beyond standards of promptness.
- For FAP only, the current level of benefits or denial of expedited service. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (April 1, 2015), pp 3-4.

An adequate notice is a written notice sent to the client at the same time an action takes effect (not pended). Adequate notice is given in the following circumstances:

A recipient or his legal guardian or authorized representative requests in writing that the case be closed. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 220 (October 1, 2015), pp 2-3.

The Claimant's children are ongoing recipients of MA benefits. The Claimant requested that the Department close MA benefits for his children but the Department refused to comply.

The Department cited BEM 131, which indicates that for children under age 19, a MA recipient's eligibility continues unless the child reaches age 19, moves out of state, is

ineligible due to institutional status, or dies. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 131 (June 1, 2015), pp 2-3.

This Administrative Law Judge finds no basis for continuing the children's MA benefits despite the Claimant's request to the Department to close those benefits. The children met the eligibility criteria before an application was submitted on their behalf but they could not take advantage of the benefits until after their application was approved. While policy does indicate that MA eligibility will continue, this is not a basis for mandating that the benefit case continue. The Department's BAM 220 clearly outlines a procedure for taking case action upon a guardian or authorized representative's request for MA benefit closure.


The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it refused to process the Claimant's request to close his children's Medical Assistance (MA) benefits.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Close the Medical Assistance (MA) benefits case for the Claimant's children.
2. Provide the Claimant with a written notice describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.



---

Kevin Scully  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Nick Lyon, Director  
Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: **12/16/2015**

Date Mailed: **12/16/2015**

KS/■

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the claim or application for appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from MAHS within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion. MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

cc:

