

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

IN THE MATTER OF:

Docket No. 15-016837 PHR

██████████

██████████

██████████

Appellant

_____/

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, following Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on ██████████. Appellant appeared on his own behalf. ██████████, Appellant's spouse, appeared as a witness. ██████████, Clinical Pharmacist for ██████████, represented the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS or Department).

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Appellant's request for prior authorization of the medication Harvoni?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Appellant is a █ year old Medicaid beneficiary, born ██████████ (Exhibit A, p 6; Testimony)
2. On ██████████, Appellant's physician, a gastroenterologist, sought prior authorization for the medication Harvoni for Appellant's diagnosis of Chronic Hepatitis C. (Exhibit A, pp 3-27; Testimony)
3. A review of the prior authorization request by the Department showed that Harvoni is currently on the Suspend List, a list of drugs new to the market to

be reviewed by the expert panel on the Pharmacy and Therapeutic Committee. Drugs on the Suspend List are excluded from Medicaid coverage. (Exhibit A, pp 31-34, 39-41; Testimony)

4. Because the request could not be approved, it was forwarded to a physician reviewer at the State. The physician reviewer also denied the request stating, "Concur with denial. Does not meet criteria for exception to our non-coverage." (Exhibit A, p 28; Testimony)
5. An Adequate Action Notice of denial was sent to Appellant on [REDACTED]. A Notice of the denial was also sent to Appellant's physician. (Exhibit A, pp 29-30; Testimony)
6. Appellant's request for an administrative hearing was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System on [REDACTED]. (Exhibit 1)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

The Social Security Act § 1927(d), 42 USC 1396r-8(d), provides as follows:

LIMITATIONS ON COVERAGE OF DRUGS –

(1) PERMISSIBLE RESTRICTIONS –

- (A) A state may subject to prior authorization any covered outpatient drug. Any such prior authorization program shall comply with the requirements of paragraph (5). A state may exclude or otherwise restrict coverage of a covered outpatient drug if –
 - (i) the prescribed use is not for a medically accepted indication (as defined in subsection (k)(6);
 - (ii) the drug is contained in the list referred to in paragraph (2);
 - (iii) the drug is subject to such restriction pursuant to an agreement between a manufacturer and a State authorized by the Secretary under subsection (a)(1) or in effect pursuant to subsection (a)(4); or

- (iv) the State has excluded coverage of the drug from its formulary in accordance with paragraph 4.

(2) LIST OF DRUGS SUBJECT TO RESTRICTION –The following drugs or classes of drugs, or their medical uses, may be excluded from coverage or otherwise restricted:

- (A) Agents when used for anorexia, weight loss, or weight gain.
- (B) Agents when used to promote fertility.
- (C) Agents when used for cosmetic purposes or hair growth.
- (D) Agents when used for the symptomatic relief of cough and colds.
- (E) Agents when used to promote smoking cessation.
- (F) Prescription vitamins and mineral products, except prenatal vitamins and fluoride preparations.
- (G) Nonprescription drugs.
- (H) Covered outpatient drugs, which the manufacturer seeks to require as a condition of sale that associated tests or monitoring services be purchased exclusively from the manufacturer or its designee.
- (I) Barbiturates.
- (J) Benzodiazepines.
- (K) Agents when used for the treatment of sexual or erectile dysfunction, unless such agents are used to treat a condition, other than sexual or erectile dysfunction, for which the agents have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration.

* * *

(4) REQUIREMENTS FOR FORMULARIES — A State may establish a formulary if the formulary meets the following requirements:

- (A) The formulary is developed by a committee consisting of physicians, pharmacists, and other appropriate individuals appointed by the Governor of the State (or, at the option of the State, the State's drug use review board established under subsection (g)(3)).
- (B) Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the formulary includes the covered outpatient drugs of any manufacturer, which has entered into and complies with an agreement under subsection (a) (other than any drug excluded from coverage or otherwise restricted under paragraph (2)).
- (C) A covered outpatient drug may be excluded with respect to the treatment of a specific disease or condition for an identified population (if any) only if, based on the drug's labeling (or, in the case of a drug the prescribed use of which is not approved under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act but is a medically accepted indication, based on information from appropriate compendia described in subsection (k)(6)), the excluded drug does not have a significant, clinically meaningful therapeutic advantage in terms of safety, effectiveness, or clinical outcome of such treatment for such population over other drugs included in the formulary and there is a written explanation (available to the public) of the basis for the exclusion.
- (D) The state plan permits coverage of a drug excluded from the formulary (other than any drug excluded from coverage or otherwise restricted under paragraph (2)) pursuant to a prior authorization program that is consistent with paragraph (5).
- (E) The formulary meets such other requirements as the Secretary may impose in order to achieve program savings consistent with protecting the health of program beneficiaries.

A prior authorization program established by a State under paragraph (5) is not a formulary subject to the requirements of this paragraph.

- (5) **REQUIREMENTS OF PRIOR AUTHORIZATION PROGRAMS** — A State plan under this title may require, as a condition of coverage or payment for a covered outpatient drug for which

Federal financial participation is available in accordance with this section, with respect to drugs dispensed on or after July 1, 1991, the approval of the drug before its dispensing for any medically accepted indication (as defined in subsection (k)(6)) only if the system providing for such approval –

- (A) Provides response by telephone or other telecommunication device within 24 hours of a request for prior authorization; and
- (B) Except with respect to the drugs referred to in paragraph (2) provides for the dispensing of at least 72-hour supply of a covered outpatient prescription drug in an emergency situation (as defined by the Secretary).

42 USC 1396r-8(k)(6) MEDICALLY ACCEPTED INDICATION -

The term “medically accepted indication” means any use for a covered outpatient drug which is approved under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act [21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.] or the use of which is supported by one or more citations included or approved for inclusion in any of the compendia described in subsection (g)(1)(B)(i).

The Medicaid Provider Manual addresses prior-authorization requirements as follows:

8.2 PRIOR AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENTS

PA is required for:

- Products as specified in the MPPL. Pharmacies should review the information in the Remarks as certain drugs may have PA only for selected age groups, gender, etc. (e.g., over 17 years).
- Payment above the Maximum Allowable Cost (MAC) rate.
- Prescriptions that exceed MDCH quantity or dosage limits.
- Medical exception for drugs not listed in the MPPL.
- Medical exception for noncovered drug categories.

- Acute dosage prescriptions beyond MDCH coverage limits for H2 Antagonists and Proton Pump Inhibitor medications.
- Dispensing a 100-day supply of maintenance medications that are beneficiary-specific and not on the maintenance list.
- Pharmaceutical products included in selected therapeutic classes. These classes include those with products that have minimal clinical differences, the same or similar therapeutic actions, the same or similar outcomes, or have multiple effective generics available.

* * *

8.4 DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

For all requests for PA, the following documentation is required:

- Pharmacy name and phone number;
- Beneficiary diagnosis and medical reason(s) why another covered drug cannot be used;
- Drug name, strength, and form;
- Other pharmaceutical products prescribed;
- Results of therapeutic alternative medications tried; and
- MedWatch Form or other clinical information may be required.

* * *

8.6 PRIOR AUTHORIZATION DENIALS

PA denials are conveyed to the requester. PA is denied if:

- The medical necessity is not established.
- Alternative medications are not ruled out.
- Evidence-based research and compendia do not support it.

- It is contraindicated, inappropriate standard of care.
- It does not fall within MDCH clinical review criteria.
- Documentation required was not provided.

*Medicaid Provider Manual
Pharmacy Section
July 1, 2015, pp 14-16*

The Department's Clinical Pharmacist testified that on ██████████, Appellant's physician, a gastroenterologist, sought prior authorization for the medication Harvoni for Appellant's diagnosis of Chronic Hepatitis C. The Department's Clinical Pharmacist indicated that a review of the prior authorization request by the Department showed that Harvoni is currently on the Suspend List, a list of drugs new to the market to be reviewed by the expert panel on the ██████████, and that drugs on the Suspend List are excluded from Medicaid coverage. The Department's Clinical Pharmacist testified that because the request could not be approved, it was forwarded to a physician reviewer at the State, who also denied the request stating, "Concur with denial. Does not meet criteria for exception to our non-coverage." The Department's Clinical Pharmacist testified that an Adequate Action Notice of denial was then sent to Appellant on ██████████ with a Notice of the denial also being sent to Appellant's physician.

Appellant testified that he was diagnosed with Hepatitis C in ██████ while being treated for arthritis. Appellant indicated that his doctor informed him that he could not be treated for the arthritis until his Hepatitis C was cleared up. Appellant testified that he has been losing weight and muscle tone and had to quit work. Appellant indicated that his doctor informed him that Harvoni would clear up his Hepatitis C in a matter of months and that he would then be able to be treated for his arthritis.

Appellant's spouse testified that in the last █████ years, her husband has wasted down to nothing and she now has to do everything to care for him and around the house. Appellant's spouse indicated that she also fears that she will contract Hepatitis C because she has to clean up Appellant's blood and she has an autoimmune disorder. Appellant's spouse indicated that Appellant has gone from █████ to █████ pounds and is becoming depressed.


Based on the evidence presented, Appellant has failed to prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the Department improperly denied his prior authorization request for the medication Harvoni. Harvoni is currently not covered by Medicaid as it is on the Suspend List, meaning that it has not yet been reviewed and approved for coverage. Appellant's request was also reviewed by a physician reviewer at the State, who concurred in the denial. Hopefully, the medication will be approved by Medicaid shortly and Appellant will be able to carry on with his treatment. Unfortunately, at the present time, the medication is simply not covered. Accordingly, the Department's denial is proper based on the submitted information.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department was within its legal authority to deny coverage for the medication sought.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



Robert J. Meade
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Michigan Department of
Health and Human Services

Date Signed: [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: [REDACTED]

RJM/db

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

***** NOTICE *****

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Health and Human Services may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Health and Human Services will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.