



RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
Christopher Seppanen
Executive Director

MIKE ZIMMER
DIRECTOR

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: April 19, 2016
MAHS Docket No.: 15-015169

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

Upon the request for a hearing by the Department of Health and Human Services (Department), this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, and in accordance with Titles 7, 42 and 45 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), particularly 7 CFR 273.16 and 45 CFR 235.110; and with Mich Admin Code, R 400.3130 and 400.3178. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 14, 2016, from Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented by Recoupment Specialist [REDACTED]. Respondent did not appear.

ISSUE

Did Respondent receive an overissuance of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Department submitted Exhibit A, pages 1-47 into evidence. (Dept. Exh. A, pp 1-47).
2. Respondent was a recipient of FIP benefits from the Department.

3. The Department alleges Respondent received a FIP overissuance during the period April 1, 2015 through May 31, 2015 of [REDACTED], and a second FIP overissuance during the period of June 1, 2015 through July 31, 2015 of [REDACTED] due to Department errors. (Dept. Exh. A, pp 34-36, 41-42, 44).
4. The Department alleges that Respondent received a total overissuance of [REDACTED] that is still due and owing to the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Departmental policy, BAM 700, Benefit Overissuances, states that when a client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. BAM 700, p 1 (1/1/2016). Repayment of an overissuance is the responsibility of anyone who was an eligible, disqualified, or other adult in the program group at the time the overissuance occurred. BAM 725, p 1 (10/1/2015). Bridges will collect from all adults who were a member of the case. *Id.*

An agency error is caused by incorrect action (including delayed or no action) by the Department staff or Department processes. BAM 700, p 4 (1/1/2016). Agency errors will be assigned to the client depending on the type of agency error that occurred. *Id.* at 6.


In this case, the Department representative testified that the Department erred in approving FIP for Respondent, because Respondent was never eligible for FIP benefits. The Department opened FIP in error because Respondent's only child was not eligible due to non-compliance with attendance requirements.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department did establish a FIP benefit overissuance to Respondent totaling [REDACTED]

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED.

The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures for a [REDACTED] overissuance in accordance with Department policy.



VLA/db

Vicki Armstrong
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Order in circuit court within 30 days of the receipt date. A copy of the circuit court appeal must be filed with the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS).

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Order if the request is received by MAHS within 30 days of the date the Order was issued. The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must provide the specific reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration.

A written request may be mailed or faxed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088; Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request.

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]