

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 15-009660
Issue No.: 1011, 2011, 3011
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: July 28, 2015
County: Ingham

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on July 28, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department included [REDACTED], lead worker, and [REDACTED], Office of Child Support.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) properly sanction the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (MA), and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to her non-cooperation with the Office of Child Support?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant was an ongoing Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (MA), and Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient.
2. On April 7, 2015, the Department requested that the Claimant submit information necessary to identify and locate the absent parent of her child.
3. On May 7, 2015, the Department made a second request for information necessary to identify and locate the absent parent of her child.
4. On May 20, 2015, the Department determined that the Claimant had been non-cooperative with the Department's efforts to identify and locate the absent parent of her child.

5. On May 21, 2015, the Department notified the Claimant that it would close her Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits effective July 1, 2015.
6. On June 1, 2015, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing protesting the sanctions on her benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FIP pursuant to 45 CFR 233-260, MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101-.3131.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5; 42 USC 1315; the Affordable Care Act of 2010, the collective term for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-152; and 42 CFR 430.10-.25. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers the MA program pursuant to 42 CFR 435, MCL 400.10, and MCL 400.105-.112k.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

Families are strengthened when children's needs are met. Parents have a responsibility to meet their children's needs by providing support and/or cooperating with the department, including the Office of Child Support (OCS), the Friend of the Court (FOC) and the prosecuting attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. The custodial parent or alternative caretaker of children must comply with all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain child support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. Failure to cooperate without good cause results in disqualification. Disqualification includes member removal, as well as denial or closure of program benefits. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 255 (April 1, 2015), pp 1-2.

Reinstatement restores a closed program to active status without completion of a new application. Closed programs may be rein-stated for any of the following reasons:

- Closed in error.
- Closed-correct information not entered.
- Timely hearing request.
- Redetermination packet not logged in.
- Hearing decision ordered reinstatement.
- Complied with program requirements before negative action date.
- DHS-1046 manually sent and due date is after the last day of the 6th month.
- Court ordered reinstatement. Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 205 (July 1, 2015), p 1.

The Claimant was an ongoing FIP, MA, and FAP recipient on April 7, 2015, when the Department requested that she provide information necessary to identify and locate the absent parent of her child. On May 7, 2015, the Department had not received a response from the Claimant and it sent her a second request for information. On May 20, 2015, the Department still had not received a response from the Claimant and it notified the Claimant that it found to be non-cooperative with the Department's efforts to identify and locate the absent parent of her child.

On May 20, 2015, the Claimant subjected herself to an interview where she claimed that she does not know the identity of the father of her child.

The Department presented information published on the internet that suggests that the Claimant and some acquaintances of the Claimant know the identity of the Claimant's child.

The Claimant testified that she has a relationship with the person discussed on the internet but that this person is not the father of her child.

As of May 20, 2015, the Claimant had failed to cooperate with the Department's efforts to identify and locate the absent parent of her child. Despite her failure to cooperate with the Department's requests for information on April 7, 2015, and May 7, 2015, the Claimant had the opportunity to comply and remain eligible for benefits before the Department's sanctions went into effect on July 1, 2015.


This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department has presented substantial evidence that the Claimant has information that may lead to the Department identifying and locating the absent parent of her child and that she has refused to provide this

information to the Department. Therefore, the Department was acting in accordance with policy when it determined that the Claimant has been non-cooperative with the Department's efforts to identify and locate the absent parent of her child.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it sanctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (MA), and Food Assistance Program (FAP) as of July 1, 2015.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: **7/30/2015**

Date Mailed: **7/30/2015**

KS/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

cc:

