

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 15-009469
Issue No.: 3008
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: August 12, 2015
County: Oakland-District 2

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on August 12, 2015, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and their authorized hearing representative [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department included [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

ISSUE

Did the Department of Health and Human Services (Department) properly determine the Claimant's eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant is an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient as a group of two.
2. On March 27, 2015, the Department notified the Claimant that it would reduce her monthly allotment of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits to \$ [REDACTED] as of May 1, 2015.
3. On June 5, 2015, the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing protesting the amount of her monthly allotment of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Health and Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Health and Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Health and Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 273. The Department (formerly known as the Department of Human Services) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001-.3011.

For all Food Assistance Program (FAP) groups that received the heat and utility standard on or before February 7, 2014, the heat and utility standard will remain in place for a period of five months after the month of their first redetermination or first reported case change occurring on or after May 1, 2014. In order to continue receiving the heat and utility standard beyond the expiration of the five month period, the Food Assistance Program (FAP) group must meet the requirements of the mandatory heat and utility standard section. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 554 (October 1, 2014), p 15.

Verify shelter expenses at application and when a change is reported. If the client fails to verify a reported change in shelter, remove the old expense until the new expense is verified. BEM 554, p 14.

The Claimant is an ongoing FAP recipient as a group of two. Together the household receives a total gross monthly income of \$ [REDACTED]. Their adjusted gross income of \$ [REDACTED] was determined by reducing their total income by the \$ [REDACTED] standard deduction. The Claimant's monthly housing expenses are \$ [REDACTED] and they do not have an obligation for heating expenses but they do pay for electricity and telephone. The group's shelter deduction of \$ [REDACTED] was determined by adding their housing expense to the \$ [REDACTED] non-heat electric standard and the \$ [REDACTED] telephone standard, then subtracting 50% of their adjusted gross income.

The Claimant previously received a \$ [REDACTED] heat and utility credit but due to a change in policy in 2014, only FAP recipients with a monthly obligation for heating expenses separate from their housing costs are permitted to receive this deduction.

The Claimant's net income of \$ [REDACTED] was determined by subtracting their shelter deduction from their adjusted gross income. A group of two with a net income of \$ [REDACTED] is entitled to a \$67 monthly allotment of FAP benefits.


The Claimant testified that she has housing expenses and medical expenses that the Department did not apply towards her FAP eligibility. This Administrative Law Judge finds that verification of these expenses was not supplied to the Department until after

the Claimant requested her hearing. These expenses may affect her future eligibility for benefits but are not relevant towards the March 27, 2015, reduction of FAP benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined the Claimant's eligibility for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits as of May 1, 2015.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.



Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
for Nick Lyon, Director
Department of Health and Human Services

Date Signed: **8/14/2015**

Date Mailed: **8/14/2015**

KS/las

NOTICE OF APPEAL: A party may appeal this Hearing Decision in the circuit court in the county in which he/she resides, or the circuit court in Ingham County, within 30 days of the receipt date.

A party may request a rehearing or reconsideration of this Hearing Decision from the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) within 30 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, or MAHS **MAY** order a rehearing or reconsideration on its own motion.

MAHS **MAY** grant a party's Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The party requesting a rehearing or reconsideration must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date this Hearing Decision is mailed.

A written request may be faxed or mailed to MAHS. If submitted by fax, the written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-8139

cc:

